flow, I highlight here a fundamental issue in the H-mode tokamak reactor. If operation depends on the formation of zonal flow possibly created by the self-organization of a type of Hasegawa-Mima turbulence, it is crucial that such turbulence is continuously generated. The scheme may be considered to be dynamic confinement, as compared with the classic static confinement scheme based on a magnetic bottle.

Dynamic confinement requires continuous injection of free energy via RF or neutral beams to sustain the turbulence and hence the thermal barrier - and, in effect, the pressure profile. For that process to take place on a steady-state basis, one must assume that the injected energy is lost continuously. Therefore, if the ITER design is based on dynamic confinement, ITER should be viewed as a power amplifier-that is, the fusion energy output should be regarded as amplified injected free energy. Since the injected power should be considered lost through an inverse cascade of turbulent energy, ignition criteria, such as the well-known Lawson criterion, that are based on energy confinement time become irrelevant: The energy is not confined. Here the crucial time scale is not of energy confinement but of maintaining the plasma pressure profile sustained by the zonal flow.

Reference

1. A. Hasegawa, M. Wakatani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 1581 (1987).

Akira Hasegawa

(a.hasegawa@solitoncomm.com) Osaka University Suita, Japan

fter the ITER project was initiated, decarbonization of global energy production has emerged as an urgent objective for industrial society. Thermonuclear fusion is an inherently safe, ubiquitous, zerocarbon source of energy on a scale large enough to power a growing global industrial economy. It is therefore appropriate to examine fusion research by asking whether economically viable fusion power plants will come on line soon enough to affect climate change. The field has seen advances in high-temperature superconductors, inexpensive high-field permanent magnets, and other materials and in modeling and manufacturing. Those new technologies enable a range of heating and confinement approaches far beyond what was conceivable at ITER's inception.

The 2019 Final Report of the Committee on a Strategic Plan for U.S. Burning Plasma Research from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine makes the following recommendations:

- First, the United States should remain an ITER partner as the most cost-effective way to gain experience with a burning plasma at the scale of a power plant.
- Second, the United States should start a national program of accompanying research and technology leading to the construction of a compact pilot plant that produces electricity from fusion at the lowest possible capital cost.¹

Since the publication of that report, much has changed in the US fusion community. In the public sector, the American Physical Society's division of plasma physics led a community planning process that identified scientific and technological opportunities in plasma physics and fu-



sion energy sciences and provided the Department of Energy's Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee with strategic recommendations for addressing those opportunities.²

The private sector has invested hundreds of millions of dollars in pursuing novel approaches to accelerate the deployment of commercial fusion power plants (see, for example, PHYSICS TODAY, August 2018, page 25, and February 2019, page 28). The BETHE Project (Breakthroughs Enabling Thermonuclear-Fusion Energy) under the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy recently released a funding-opportunity announcement that targets transformational research "with the potential to be disruptive in the marketplace" and specifically encourages development of "timely, commercially viable fusion energy."

ITER will make important contributions to the mission of deploying commercially viable fusion. And public, private, and partnership groups are aggressively pursuing ways to accelerate commercial deployment. New designs may eliminate the physics challenges cited in the article by Richard Hawryluk and Hartmut Zohm.

ITER will not be on the critical path for commercial deployment, and the answer to when we will have commercial fusion is this: sooner than you think.

References

- 1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Final Report of the Committee on a Strategic Plan for U.S. Burning Plasma Research, National Academies Press (2019), p. 1.
- 2. American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics, A Community Plan for Fusion Energy and Discovery Plasma Sciences, APS (2019).

Jesse Treu (jtreu@stellarenergyfoundation.org) Matthew Miller Peter Burnim Stellar Energy Foundation

Princeton, New Jersey

▶ Hawryluk and Zohm reply: Wallace Manheimer points out that remaining scientific and technological issues will need to be addressed to produce commercially competitive electricity from nuclear fusion on the completion of the ITER research program. True, ITER was not designed to produce net electricity, but power generation can be accelerated by doing more R&D in parallel with ITER's

construction. The fusion community recognizes that; it was a central topic of the research initiatives highlighted in the 2019 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine report on burning plasma research. Examples of such parallel activities that are underway include the proposed China Fusion Engineering Test Reactor and the new initiatives Jesse Treu and colleagues mention. Those efforts will profit from both the technological progress made during ITER construction and the physics understanding gained from its operation.

The "conservative design rules" Manheimer mentions are indeed recognized by the tokamak community. Research initiatives around the world are actively addressing them and seeking scientific and technological innovations to increase fusion power for a given facility size. Among them are increasing the magnetic field strength by using high-temperature superconducting magnets and increasing the power heat flux capabilities through the use of liquid-metal divertors.

Manheimer notes that fusion at an ITER-like tokamak can be used to breed nuclear fuel, such as uranium-233 from thorium-232. That may decrease the R&D effort in order to extend the parameters for fusion power plants, but it will entail other technological developments to breed ²³³U from Th and incorporating them into a nuclear fission economy. Some ITER partners have considered that approach, but others find it less attractive because of its link to fission.

Treu and coauthors note the strong need for innovation, as identified in the National Academies report; the community consensus recommendations for a strategy to address those opportunities; and the increased role of private companies to accelerate development. We share with them the hope that new designs for fusion facilities combined with results from ITER can accelerate the development of commercial fusion.

Finally, the triggering mechanism for the transition to the high-confinement barrier (H-mode) in the edge region is an ongoing area of research that is building on early work by Akira Hasegawa, Masahiro Wakatani, and many others. Experimentally, the criterion for entering the H-mode is characterized in terms of an ion heat flux. For a fully developed H-mode state, the shear flow necessary to suppress turbulence in the edge region is

provided by the zeroth-order diamagnetic flow—that is, the pressure gradient and not the turbulence itself. Hence, sustaining H-mode confinement after the transition has occurred does not rely on additional external energy or momentum input; it can be sustained by the heat flux arising from the α -particle heating. We now realize that this important aspect regarding sustaining the H-mode was not clear enough in our article.

Reference

1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Final Report of the Committee on a Strategic Plan for U.S. Burning Plasma Research, National Academies Press (2019).

Richard Hawryluk

(rhawrylu@pppl.gov) Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Princeton, New Jersey

Hartmut Zohm

(zohm@ipp.mpg.de) Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics Garching, Germany

A proposal for APS action on police brutality

he shocking death of George Floyd on 25 May 2020 is unfortunately not a singular event in the US. Eric Garner, Michael Brown, Freddie Gray, Breonna Taylor, and Amadou Diallo-who was struck by 19 of the 41 bullets fired by four New York City police officers—are just part of a long list of unarmed Black Americans who have died at the hands of the police. That pattern of violence is deeply rooted in the history of Blackwhite relations in the US and the failure of the leaders of this country to deal with systemic racism. It is worth asking what an organization with a diverse membership such as the American Physical Society can do to effect change.

Yearly, APS hosts large meetings in cities around the US. Perhaps the time has come for the organization to include the treatment of Black Americans by the local police as a key criterion in choosing cities to host the society's meetings. Cities in which Black people have died at the hands