

CRT (cathode-ray tube), and it does not present an accurate account of the pre-flat-panel display industry.

The article claims that Sony's Trinitron CRT was the best-selling television in the world and was the color TV most Americans grew up with. That is incorrect. From the beginning of color broadcasting in 1954 to the mid 2000s, RCA's color CRT was the dominant one.

Sony's Trinitron was commercially introduced in 1968, 14 years after the start of the color TV industry. Virtually no other company manufactured color TVs with Trinitron displays. During the pre-flat-panel color TV era, Sony sold fewer than 300 million color TVs with Trinitrons; the rest of the industry globally sold well over 10 times as many sets with the RCA color CRT. Although RCA only manufactured in the US, it licensed its technology abroad; in several cases RCA provided direct engineering support for licensees' manufacturing plants. All color TV manufacturers worldwide, including Sony, were RCA licensees.¹

The fundamental physical principles of the Sony and RCA color CRTs were identical. Both used three intensity-modulated electron guns to carry the three-color image information. Contrary to the article, the Trinitron did not use a single source for the three beams.

The beams were scanned by a common magnetic deflection system. In both the Sony and the RCA devices, a metal mask with small openings was placed at a precise distance between the screen and the electron guns. The beams emerged from each opening at slightly different angles and landed on the screen at three slightly displaced, nonoverlapping locations, where a trio of red, green, and blue light-emitting phosphor elements were positioned. To prevent the excitation of adjacent phosphor elements, the mask transmission is necessarily restricted to less than $\frac{1}{3}$.

The Sony and RCA approaches used differently shaped masks. Sony's was made of tensioned metal strips forming a vertical standing cylinder. RCA's mask was best described as spherical. Thus the Sony guns were arranged horizontally, whereas the RCA ones had a triangle configuration. Both systems worked well. The price of color TVs was determined by the cost of the CRTs, which was mainly driven by the cost of their glass

bulbs. Because the RCA approach was somewhat less expensive, it dominated the consumer market.

Reference

1. J. A. Castellano, *SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Pap.* 30, 356 (1999); A. Monchamp et al., *Cathode Ray Tube Manufacturing and Recycling: Analysis of Industry Survey*, Electronic Industries Alliance (2001).

Istvan Gorog

(i.gorog4@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California

► **Roebke replies:** I'm thankful that Istvan Gorog read my article until its end; he was, he confessed, pleased rather than shocked until its final paragraphs.

I did not write a history of the color television industry. My article told the story of one unheralded company and the physicists who worked on its color televisions, in their spare time, while building particle accelerators for both national defense and empirical pleasure. It was the story of the Chromatron, not RCA and the Trinitron.

Gorog was not just an alumnus of the TV industry. He was a director at RCA. So he objected when, in my denouement, I mentioned that the Trinitron was the best-selling television when most of us were growing up. In the 1990s, when I was growing up, it was.

In his letter, Gorog conflated tubes and televisions. But the first was mere synecdoche for the second. Sony built televisions from its tubes. RCA often licensed those components to other television manufacturers, so as not to manufacture all those televisions itself.

Gorog also demurred when I noted that the Trinitron had a single beam source. But it originally had a single electron gun. In the 1970s Sony even advertised "The Beauty of One Gun" as the Trinitron's distinctive feature. The veracity of my supposed inaccuracies is well documented.

Gorog then recapitulated what I wrote about grids and masks, albeit more technically and for the Trinitron rather than the Chromatron, which was the subject of my article. He distinguished the specifications of the Trinitron and RCA's tubes fluently. But he was an expert on such tubes when I was still sitting at home and watching television.

Joshua Roebke

(roebke@austin.utexas.edu)

University of Texas at Austin 



M&M 2020 MICROSCOPY & MICROANALYSIS

**August 2-6
Milwaukee, WI**



Call for Submissions

**Deadline:
February 21, 2020**

**Submissions portal opens:
December 3**

Go to
**[www.microscopy.org
/MandM/2020](http://www.microscopy.org/MandM/2020)**
for portal access and
up-to-date meeting
information

