## Tides, moonlight, machines, and D-Day

ruce Parker's article in the September 2011 issue of PHYSICS TODAY (page 35) presented a clear and thorough account of the important role of tide predictions for the Normandy invasion on 6 June 1944. The exceptionally rapid rise of the water level that morning meant that the engineers had only a short time to blow up beach obstacles while they were exposed just after low water. We can verify Parker's statement that the water-level rise was "at least a meter per hour—perhaps even faster due to shallow-water effects."

Our calculation of the tide curve for the Normandy coast near Omaha Beach includes shallow-water constituents and gives a rate of rise of 1.5 meters per hour while the demolition teams were struggling to blow up the beach obstacles; the rate of rise exceeded 1.9 meters per hour shortly thereafter.<sup>1</sup>

Perhaps less clear is Parker's comment about the time of moonrise. Parker states that the paratroopers needed to see their drop zones during the preceding night and therefore "there had to be a late-rising Moon."

According to our astronomical calculations for Omaha Beach (49°22' N, 0°52′ W), the Moon had risen about 1.5 hours before sunset on the preceding day (5 June). It then arced across the sky during the night of 5-6 June and reached its highest point for that night just as the airborne assault began, about five hours after moonrise.1 We calculate that moonrise on 5 June occurred at 18:33 Greenwich Mean Time (GMT), that sunset at 20:04 GMT marked the beginning of civil twilight, and that the period of brightest moonlight fell near 23:22 GMT. Our calculations agree well with the approximate times determined by reading down the left edge of the official 1944 tidal and illumination dia-

Letters are encouraged and should be sent by email to ptletters@aip.org (using your surname as the Subject line), or by standard mail to Letters, PHYSICS TODAY, American Center for Physics, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD 20740-3842. Please include your name, affiliation, mailing address, email address, and daytime phone number on your attachment or letter. You can also contact us online at http://contact.physicstoday.org. We reserve the right to edit submissions.

gram reproduced as Parker's figure 4.

General Dwight Eisenhower later explained that the Allied forces "wanted a moon for our airborne assaults," and Winston Churchill agreed that "moonlight... would help... our airborne troops." Brigadier General James Gavin of the 82nd Airborne gives an eyewitness account. As his C-47 military transport aircraft approached a drop zone west of Sainte-Mère-Église, Gavin could clearly see that "the roads and the small clusters of houses in the Normandy villages stood out sharply in the moonlight."

## References

- 1. D. W. Olson, R. L. Doescher, *Sky Telesc.* **87**(6), 84 (1994).
- 2. D. D. Eisenhower, *Crusade in Europe*, Doubleday, Garden City, NY (1948), p. 239.
- 3. W. S. Churchill, *Closing the Ring*, Houghton Mifflin, Boston (1951), p. 591.
- J. M. Gavin, Airborne Warfare, Infantry Journal Press, Washington, DC (1947), p. 57.
   Donald W. Olson

(dolson@txstate.edu)

Russell L. Doescher
(rd10@txstate.edu)

Texas State University
San Marcos

■ I'll bite. What did happen to those beautiful brass tide-predicting computers Bruce Parker mentions? Presumably they didn't get bombed, and certainly they are no longer needed. Were they sold for scrap, placed on display, or retired to the back attics of their institutes?

Damned good article. Thanks!

Peter Zimmerman (peter.zimmerman@cox.net) Great Falls, Virginia

■ Parker replies: The two American machines are in the Washington, DC, area. The Harris machine (see photograph), used to make the tide predictions for the North African and Pacific campaigns, is on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's campus in Silver Spring, Maryland. That machine is still operational, at least in that the pulleys and gears move; for an accurate tide prediction it would probably need recalibration. The Ferrel tide-predicting machine is in the Smithsonian's National Museum of American History in downtown DC.



The Harris tide-predicting machine, constructed around 1910 and retired from service in 1965. (Courtesy of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.)

The two British machines used by Arthur Thomas Doodson at the Liverpool Tidal Institute are in the Merseyside Maritime Museum in Liverpool.

**Bruce Parker** 

(bruce.parker@stevens.edu) Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey

## Correcting the Coriolis correlation

rom the letter by Christopher Graney (PHYSICS TODAY, August 2011, page 8) I learned that 16th-century Italian scientists Giovanni Riccioli and Francesco Grimaldi were apparently aware of the Coriolis effect nearly two centuries before Gaspard-Gustave Coriolis. However, the description of the effect and the diagram reproduced from their book are only half correct.

For an object moving horizontally with a given speed, conservation of angular momentum, seen from a rotating planet, results in the same amount of deflection regardless of the object's direction. Contrary to the assertion by Riccioli and Grimaldi, a cannonball fired eastward in the Northern Hemi-