# letters

# Lemaître's Hubble relationship

**The detection of** the expansion of the universe is one of the 20th century's most important scientific discoveries. It is still widely held that in 1929 Edwin Hubble discovered the expanding universe1 and that his discovery was based on his extended observations of redshifts in spiral nebulae. Both statements are incorrect. The circumstances of the discovery were well documented in two books: The Day We Found the Universe, by Marcia Bartusiak, and Discovering the Expanding Universe, by one of us (Nussbaumer) and Lydia Bieri. Both books were positively reviewed in the December 2009 issue of PHYSICS TODAY (page 51). Other writers have stated the facts correctly as well.2

Alexander Friedmann in 1922 was the first to publish nonstatic solutions<sup>3</sup> to Albert Einstein's field equations. However, he did not extend that work into a cosmological model built on astronomical observations. Some five years later, Georges Lemaître also discovered dynamical solutions.4 In the same publication in which he reported his discovery, he extracted (on theoretical grounds) the linear relationship between velocity v and distance r: v = Hr. Combining redshifts published by Gustaf Strömberg (who relied mostly on Vesto Slipher's work) and Hubble's determination of distances from magnitudes, he calculated two values for the Hubble constant H, 575 and 670 km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>, depending on how the data are grouped. Lemaître concluded from those results that the universe was expanding. Two years later Hubble found the same velocity-distance relationship on observational grounds1 from practically the same observations that Lemaître had used. However, Hubble did not credit anyone for the redshifts, most of which again came from Slipher.

Several of today's professional astronomers and popular authors believe that the entirety of Lemaître's 1927 French-language paper was republished in English<sup>5</sup> in 1931 with the help of Arthur Eddington. That is also incorrect; the two pages from the 1927 paper that contain Lemaître's estimates of the Hubble constant are not in the 1931 paper, for reasons that have never been properly explained.

Unfortunately, several prominent

people writing in the popular press continue to promote Hubble's discovery of the expansion of the universe. See, for example, Brian Greene's Op-Ed piece in the *New York Times* on 15 January 2011.

There is a great irony in these falsehoods still being promoted today. Hubble himself never came out in favor of an expanding universe; on the contrary, he doubted it to the end of his days. It was Lemaître who was the first to combine theoretical and observational arguments to show that we live in an expanding universe.

### References

- 1. E. Hubble, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **15**, 168 (1929).
- See, for example, P. J. E. Peebles, in *The Big Bang and Georges Lemaître: Proceedings of a Symposium in honour of G. Lemaître*...,
  D. Reidel, Dordrecht, the Netherlands (1984), p. 23.
- 3. A. Friedmann, Z. Phys. 10, 377 (1922).
- 4. G. Lemaître, Ann. Šoc. Sci. Brux. 47, 49 (1927).
- G. Lemaître, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 91, 483 (1931).

#### Michael Way

(michael.j.way@nasa.gov) NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies New York City

### Harry Nussbaumer

(nussbaumer@astro.phys.ethz.ch) ETH Zürich Zürich, Switzerland

## Coriolis effect, two centuries before Coriolis

The Coriolis deflection of moving objects seen from within a rotating frame of reference—important in physics, meteorology, and oceanography—was described by Italian scientists Giovanni Battista Riccioli (1598–1671) and his assistant Francesco Maria Grimaldi (1618–63) nearly two centuries before Gaspard-Gustave Coriolis (1792–1843).

Among other things, historians attribute to Riccioli our system of lunar nomenclature and the first accurate measurement of gravitational acceleration at Earth's surface, and to Grimaldi the discovery and naming of the phenomenon of diffraction of light. Riccioli and Grimaldi give a detailed description in Riccioli's 1651 Almagestum

*Novum* of how Earth's rotation should cause a rightward deflection in a projectile fired toward the north. They write,

If a ball is fired along a Meridian toward the pole (rather than toward the East or West), diurnal motion will cause the ball to be carried off [that is, the trajectory of the ball will be deflected], all things being equal: for on parallels of latitude nearer the poles, the ground moves more slowly, whereas on parallels nearer the equator, the ground moves more rapidly.<sup>1</sup>

Grimaldi and Riccioli provide a diagram (see figure, next page) of a cannon aimed northward and eastward. They write that if the cannon is fired eastward at a target at B, then as the ball is in flight, Earth's diurnal rotation carries the mouth of the cannon from A to C and carries the target from B to D, so the ball travels from A to D. If the cannon is aimed northward and fired at a target at E, then as the ball is in flight, the target moves from E to N. However, the ball travels along the curve AKF, not the straight line AHF, because the diurnal motion is faster at the beginning of the ball's flight (page 426).<sup>1</sup>

The authors write that the ball will not strike the target at N squarely but will graze it or miss it. However, if another target were positioned east of N, such as at G, the ball would squarely strike it, even though the cannon is not aimed at it (page 427).<sup>1</sup>

Riccioli and Grimaldi do not calculate the size of the effect, but they suppose that since a skilled artilleryman can place a shot right into the mouth of an enemy's cannon, the difference in shots from east to west versus those

Letters are encouraged and should be sent by e-mail to ptletters@aip.org (using your surname as "Subject"), or by standard mail to Letters, PHYSICS TODAY, American Center for Physics, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD 20740-3842. Please include your name, affiliation, mailing address, e-mail address, and daytime phone number on your attachment or letter. You can also contact us online at http://www.physicstoday.org/pt/contactus.jsp. We reserve the right to edit submissions.