

imaging of single particles and nanocrystallography, “getting a diffraction pattern from each individual flash, shot by shot, before the sample explodes,” says Schneider. The first set of experiments, he adds, “takes us out to Christmas.”

Veit Elser, a physicist at Cornell University who simulates the interactions of light pulses with proteins, says, “Biologists want to know what a protein looks and acts like in the preexploded form, and that only works if the pulse passes through the protein in a shorter time than it takes to explode.” From studies, he adds, protein explosion is expected within 20–100 fs of exposure to the LCLS x rays. “So we were all nervous. It was great when [the LCLS] announced that, without trying too hard, they’d gotten pulses under 20 fs. If you have the mentality of a physicist, to get this short pulse length is incredible.”

The startup of the LCLS is a milestone in the reinvention of SLAC from primarily a high-energy physics laboratory to a multiprogram one that emphasizes light sources, astroparticle physics, accelerator R&D, and accelerator-based particle physics. “The LCLS was turned on a year to the week after the April 2008 early turning off of the B factory, the last on-site user facility dedicated to particle physics,” says Drell. “The lab has gone through an enormous transition, and it hasn’t ended yet.” The best science, she adds, “will come when we have built a stronger in-house program in photon science.

“Right now we are celebrating,” Drell says. “But a little over a year ago, we had just completed a layoff of 13% of the staff. In the bigger context, the center of the universe for particle physics is going to be CERN, and we are fortunate to have been able to reinvent ourselves so quickly. The LCLS puts us right back at the forefront of physics.”

Toni Feder

US public likes scientists, poll finds

While scientists rank near the top of the professions that Americans admire the most, a large fraction of the public chooses to ignore what scientists have to say on evolution and human-caused climate change, according to a study released last month. The US public also holds highly favorable views of science itself, with 84% of those surveyed believing that its benefits to society are

mostly positive and only 6% thinking the opposite. But the study by the non-profit Pew Research Center for the People and the Press found that a surprisingly low 17% of nonscientist participants regard their country’s scientific achievements as the best in the world, and it gauged the public support for increased federal funding for science to be lukewarm.

Perhaps most strikingly, only 32% of those surveyed agree that humans and other living things have evolved over time through evolution by natural selection, compared with 87% of the surveyed scientists who believe so. A large gap also exists between scientists and the public on the issue of climate change, where just 49% of the public agree that Earth is growing warmer because of human activity, compared with 84% of scientists.

Scientists stuck with the science

“I’m fond of saying that the purpose of science is to tell us about the nature of the natural world, whether you like the answer or not,” says Alan Leshner, executive director of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, which paid for the survey. “The public at large or policymakers are free to deny, disagree, or just disregard what the science is showing,” he told reporters. “Scientists don’t have that luxury.”

The Pew study comprised three surveys: a Web-based questionnaire of randomly selected members of AAAS who are scientists, and two telephone surveys of the general public.

One of the public polls was aimed at assessing the scientific acumen of the general US population. It found that Americans are knowledgeable about basic scientific facts that affect their health and their daily lives, but they are less able to answer questions about other science topics. For example, 91% are aware that aspirin is an over-the-counter drug recommended to prevent heart attacks, but just 46% know that electrons are smaller than atoms.

The study found that only members of the military and teachers are more admired by the public than scientists. The 70% of survey respondents who viewed scientists as contributing a lot to society’s well-being even edged out by 1% those who feel that way about physicians. Large majorities of the public survey group think that government investments in basic scientific research (73%) and engineering and technology (74%) pay off in the long run, and 60% believe that government investment in research is essential for scientific progress.

But when it comes to public funding, science is viewed as a mid-tier priority, says Scott Keeter, Pew’s director of survey research. The 39% of participants who say that federal spending on science should be increased compares with 67% who favor more for education and 61% who want more for health care. Coming in below science are defenses against terrorism, where 35% favor more spending, and aid to the world’s needy, where 26% want greater support. The good news, says Keeter, is that support for science funding has not eroded on the priority scale since Pew last studied the issue in 2001. At that time, the percentages of the surveyed population wanting more for education and health care were 9 points and 10 points higher, respectively, than they are today.

Scientists blamed the news media for much of the public’s poor understanding of science, with more than 76% agreeing that news reports failing to distinguish between findings that are well-founded and those that are not constitute a major problem for science. Nearly half of the scientists described the media’s oversimplification of science as a major problem. Leshner, who is the publisher of the AAAS-owned *Science* magazine, says he “was struck” that scientists put “less focus . . . on the education system in the US, which surely has responsibility for what people know and don’t know.”

Interestingly, although most scientists were aware of allegations that the Bush administration had suppressed scientific results that conflicted with its ideology and 87% of them believed those charges were true, that controversy was largely invisible outside the science community: Of the public respondents, 54% said they had heard nothing about the suppression.

Public education needed

Leshner says the results point to the need for improved science education at the K–12 level and for more and deeper interactions between scientists and the public. “I would argue that it’s the general public that needs a basic understanding of science, not just those who go on to higher education. You can’t live in modern society and not have a fundamental comfort and familiarity with the nature of science and what it is, because it pervades every aspect of modern life,” he says. “The scientific community has a tremendous responsibility to not only produce useful, interesting, and provocative science but to go out to the public and work with the public” to ensure that the science is useful and

productively used.

What surprised him most, he says, is the opinion of the public survey participants that US science is not preeminent among nations. "From my own experience when I travel to Europe or Asia, people are constantly seeing American science as the target, the gold standard that they are aspiring to," Leshner says.

Of the nearly 10 000 scientists who were contacted for the survey, 2533, or 25%, responded. The overall margin of error for the scientist survey was plus or minus 2.5%.

The poll of public attitudes toward science was based on interviews with 2001 adults 18 or older, and also had an error margin of 2.5%. The survey measuring the public's basic scientific knowledge involved interviews with 1005 adults, and carried a 3.5% margin of error.

The study and an online version of the public scientific knowledge test that was used are available at <http://peoplepress.org/reports/pdf/528.pdf>.

David Kramer

news notes

Supernova factory.

Commissioning of the Palomar Transient Factory, which combines imaging with rapid data analysis and follow-up by other telescopes, is to be completed this month. "We will search for objects which change on the time scale of minutes, hours, and days," says PTF principal investigator Shrinivas Kulkarni of Caltech. The PTF has al-

ready discovered more than 40 supernovae since first light last December.

Located at Caltech's Palomar Observatory in Southern California, the PTF's wide-field-of-view camera, which is mounted on a 1.2-m optical telescope, piles up more than 100 gigabytes of data each night. As they're collected, those data are sent by a high-speed microwave connection to Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, where they are immediately compared with previous images.

"The 1.2-meter is a discovery engine. It finds approximately one transient object every 17 minutes," Kulkarni says. Data on transients found in the computerized analysis are returned to Palomar, where a 1.5-m telescope that is dedicated halftime to the project "provides vital filtering" down to a few objects a night for further observation. Telescopes around the globe to which the PTF's eight institutional partners in the US, UK, and Israel have access are notified about the selected objects. Although the PTF is mostly robotic, human eyes still double-check that the selected objects warrant more study. Software under development could reduce the notification time from 24 hours to real time, Kulkarni adds.

The tab for construction plus five years of running the PTF is about \$2.6 million. "The cost has been kept low by the participation of many young people who were given major responsibility," Kulkarni says, noting that his postdoc Nick Law is the PTF project scientist. TF ■

web watch

To suggest topics or sites for Web Watch, please visit <http://www.physicstoday.org/suggestwebwatch.html>. Compiled and edited by Charles Day



<http://www.compadre.org>

ComPADRE is a compendium of digital resources for physics educators and students at all levels.

Web Watch first featured the site in 2005. Now, six years after its debut in 2003, the site has grown considerably in size, scope, and usefulness.

<http://www.esa.int/esa-mm/mmg.pl?b=b&keyword=earth%20views&single=y&start=1&size=b>

Watch **Earth views** and you'll get an idea of what our planet looks like to an astronaut in low-Earth orbit. The 12-minute video, which was put together by the European Space Agency, contains scenes shot from the space shuttle, Soyuz spacecraft, and the International Space Station.



http://www.stmary.ws/physics/home/crossword_puzzles



Aspiring physicists among the high schoolers you know might like to test their knowledge of physics by solving the **Crossword Puzzles** devised by students at St. Mary's High School in Manhasset, New York. The puzzles can be completed online.

your high-vacuum chamber would like to spend less time under a blanket and more time getting some sunshine...



...get it a UVB-100, the simplest way to desorb water vapor from your chamber


UVB-100
ultra-violet
bakeout
enhancement
system



innovations for surface science

www.rbdinstruments.com 541.330.0723

Belows-Sealed Linear Translator (BLT)



Model
BLT63-3

Operating Instructions:

- 1.
- 2.
3. Repeat if necessary.

McAllister Technical Services

Manufacturers of surface analytical instruments and devices

Ph. + 208-772-9527
800-445-3688

www.mcallister.com