American Journal of Physics.

Producing a high-quality, peerreviewed archival journal such as AJP involves significant costs, including those for a reliable online platform that has made AJP and other member-society journals available to a much wider audience than did the former print-only subscriptions. AIP has also made major investments to digitize and make available electronically journal issues that were published in print long before the industry made the transition to digital. Those real costs are recovered, by and large, through institutional subscriptions paid by libraries and research institutions. The cost of producing one typical article is between \$1500 and \$3000. Considering the average journal subscriber base, a \$20 price for a nonsubscriber to download an article is not out of line.

AIP's online platform, Scitation, already provides free access to full abstracts, index terms, and search capabilities for more than a million articles. Our journal prices are significantly lower than those for similar journals produced by commercial publishers, and we invest the modest return in outreach services such as lay-language translations of important research results, subsidized programs for students, and subsidized student and member-society subscriptions PHYSICS TODAY.

> H. Frederick Dylla American Institute of Physics College Park, Maryland

## **Fundamentalism** and a full stomach

Kudos to Pervez Hoodbhoy for a great introspective article on the lack of scientific progress in the Islamic world (PHYSICS TODAY, August 2007, page 49). I largely agree with his general hypothesis that the disease in the Islamic world is from us and within us, but missing from his analysis is a macrolevel, sociohistorical, scientific analysis of the lack of scientific progress in the Islamic world. Societal pursuit of science and the arts is a manifestation of "fullstomach syndrome": Only after basic survival needs are met and excess capital is accumulated can a person, a community, a society afford to indulge in such nonessential luxuries as scientific exploration. Often the excess accumulation of capital that allows indulgence in science and the arts is obtained at the expense of a terrestrial neighbor. It thus

becomes a societal manifestation of the second law of thermodynamics—order and progress in one region can only be had by inducing bare subsistence and despair in another. Such has been the case in every episode of human civilization, and the advancement of scientific progress in the West is no exception to this rule.

For the non-Western world to contribute scientifically, it must first break free of Western military, economic, and political domination and achieve true independence to begin to accumulate capital and transform its society. In East Asia, the process began 40 years ago, with China being the latest example; it is beginning to bloom, too, in Central and South America and was stirring in the Islamic world until, as Hoodbhoy says, the West acted to reverse the forces of secularism and change. That reversal puts the Islamic world's transformation 50 years behind the curve, and there is limited hope in the foreseeable future for progressive forces like Hoodbhoy himself.

> **Mohammad Babar** (malangay@gmail.com) Farmington, Missouri

The excellent and thought-provoking article by Pervez Hoodbhoy is disturbing for its description of the influence of rigid fundamentalist religion not only on Islamic science but on science in any society, even in the US. It is the very nature of science to intellectually question its own icons and, at times, other authorities in its host culture. Moreover, science places valid, observable facts above current explanations. Ignoring the facts of science because of the general public's state of mind (or belief) cannot portend anything but the depreciation of observable facts in the public debate.

I have long maintained that a political candidate's religion was an improper subject for debate. Hoodbhoy's observations make this less clear; certainly, a candidate's propensity to accept or reject new ideas is important to his or her performance in the office sought. Certainly, a candidate's fundamental view of knowledge will affect the allocation of funds needed to further intellectual endeavors. How can a science-based society continue to succeed if the very basis of its past successes is even subliminally rejected by the political leadership?

The current trends of ignoring the protocols of science, having nonscience pose as science, distorting the nature of science via semantic ploys, and using

