tissues. Such a threshold value would be quite reasonable, considering our present knowledge that no harmful effects to humans occur at exposures less than 20 milligray. A threshold based on the DARI being equal to 0.2 milligray would thus contribute to the current discussion on developing a system of radiation protection that is based on risk to the individual.4 The nonmeasurable sievert and the linear no-threshold hypothesis—impossible to test at exposures low enough to call into question the concept of dose as an amorphous average quantity⁵—are the real sources of confusion in the system used presently.

Reference

- 1. G. Charpak, R. L. Garwin, Europhysics News, Jan./Feb. 2002, p. 14.
- 2. International Commission on Radiological Protection, Annals of the ICRP, ICRP Pub. No. 60, Pergamon Press, New York (1991).
- 3. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes, United Nations, New York (2000).

- 4. R. H. Clarke, J. Radiol. Prot. 19, 107 (1999).
- 5. R. Katz, F. A. Cucinotta, "Low Fluence," paper presented at the World Space Congress 2002, Houston, Texas, 10-19 Oct. 2002 (abstract COSPAR02 A-170).

Michael P. R. Waligórski

(z5waligo@cyf-kr.edu.pl) Centre of Oncology & Institute of Nuclear Physics Kraków, Poland

Hiroshima Bomb's **Explosive Yield** Less than Reported

aul Guinnessy reports that the explosive will Guinnessy plosive yield of the Hiroshima bomb was 20 kT (PHYSICS TODAY, August 2002, page 23). However, that value is at variance with the most reliable ones in the open literature, in which one typically sees values of 12.5 to 15 kT.1 The comprehensive calculation by John Malik gives the value of 15 kT, with an error of 20%.2

The 20-kT value was initially given by President Harry S. Truman in August 1945. Physicists at Los Alamos knew it was an overestimate that was based, perhaps, on information Truman had from the Trinity test. But the Trinity test data were for a different type of bomb—a plutonium implosion device, not the uranium gun-type model that was used on Hiroshima.

References

- 1. L. Badash, Scientists and the Development of Nuclear Weapons, Humanities Press, Atlantic Highlands, N.J. (1995), p. 54; S. Hoddeson et al., Critical Assembly: A Technical History of Los Alamos during the Oppenheimer Years, 1943–1945, Cambridge U. Press, New York (1993), p. 392; R. Rhodes, The Making of the Atomic Bomb, Simon & Schuster, New York (1986), p. 711.
- 2. J. Malik, The Yields of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki Nuclear Explosions, technical rep. no. LA-8819, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, N.Mex. (September 1985).

Henry E. Heatherly (hen5820@louisiana.edu) University of Louisiana Lafayette

Correction

January 2003, page 44—The last work cited in reference 4 should be G. Mace, E. Clothiaux, T. Ackerman, J. Climate 14, 2185 (2001). The page number for reference 6 should be 2091.

