eventually lead to commercial applications. The industrial partner may choose to manufacture the product in the FSU country or in the US, but royalties are sent to the FSU institute. As of October 2002, 13 IPP products have entered the world market and have generated annual sales of more than \$27 million.

Ken Touryan

(ken_touryan@nrel.gov) National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden, Colorado

Nobel Work Done at Francis Bitter Lab

n their article "A Topological Look at the Quantum Hall Effect" (PHYSICS TODAY, August 2003, page 38), Joseph E. Avron, Daniel Osadchy, and Ruedi Seiler describe Klaus von Klitzing's discovery of the integer quantum Hall effect. That work led to his winning the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1985. The authors correctly identify the Grenoble High Magnetic Field Laboratory in France as the facility at which the initial discovery was made. They subsequently write about how Horst Stormer, Daniel Tsui, and "coworkers at AT&T Bell Laboratories" found evidence of a fractional quantum Hall effect. Stormer and Tsui, along with the theorist Robert Laughlin, received the 1998 Nobel Prize in Physics for that work. However, omitted from the discussion was the fact that the experiments leading to the prize-winning results were performed at what was then the MIT Francis Bitter National Magnet Laboratory. A plaque acknowledging that accomplishment hangs in the lobby of the laboratory.

Lawrence G. Rubin (lrubin@mit.edu)

MIT Francis Bitter Magnet Laboratory Cambridge, Massachusetts

Ideas for Improving Peer Review

Authorship of articles in scientific journals is a key parameter in career evaluations of science professionals, and the health of that parameter clearly depends on the peer review process. Unfortunately, that process does suffer cases of misconduct, usually related to conflicts between individuals or groups or to direct malicious interference. In my view, journals need to recognize their responsibility as custodians of honesty and authorship.

Sometimes it seems that authors' names are subject to more critical

review than their work. To avoid that possibility, anonymous review in which the authors are not identified should perhaps become a much wider practice. Transparency and efficiency might also be improved if referees' comments were published online. Excessive delay in the review process or the transfer of a submitted paper from one journal to another in the same publishing group without retaining the original submission dates has, on occasion, enabled basically plagiaristic papers to be published

before the work they plagiarized.

Another problematic area in the process is citations: The right to be cited must be ensured. To help accomplish that, journals could include a comments section in which authors would be able to call attention to papers that do not properly cite their relevant work.

Jorge Mira-Perez

(fajmirap@usc.es Universidade de Santiago de Compostela Santiago de Compostela, Spain

