objectives are, first, excellent research enhanced by new modes of communication and, second, a long-lasting archive of accessible, high-quality, scholarly publications. An unedited record of "a genuinely interactive—and democratic—electronic journal" may be useful to historians 20 years after publication, but it's not likely to be the best possible research tool for the scientists of that era.

Cynthia Cudaback raises an interesting question: Does electronic publication actually waste more paper than the conventional print journals? I don't know the answer. In my own case, I do tend to print lots of stuff. But I used to photocopy just as many papers from the print journals; and I generally copy or print only the material I really need, selected from an enormous database that remains in the environmentally benign form of electrons. I also notice that, when some of my colleagues receive old-fashioned preprints, they scan them into their computers and recycle the paper.

Cudaback's second point is also interesting but even harder to evaluate. Is the ease of electronic communication encouraging too many of us to throw caution to the wind by prematurely posting "half-digested" research

results? If so, is that a bad thing?

I'm not convinced that electronic communication has greatly changed the way we play this particular game. We are eager to show our results to others because we want feedback and credit. At the same time, we don't like to risk the embarrassment of circulating wrong results, nor do we like to give away our best ideas before we have had a chance to develop them properly. The game is just played faster and more openly these days.

JAMES LANGER University of California Santa Barbara

# Flexible Polymers Also Counterattract

In their article (PHYSICS TODAY, September 2000, page 38), William M. Gelbart and coauthors Robijn F. Bruinsma, Philip A. Pincus, and V. Adrian Parsegian describe the condensation of double-stranded DNA by multivalent ions. They discuss many models that feature counterion-mediated rigid DNA attractions. Electrostatically driven precipitation, however, is not unique to rigid DNA fragments. It also occurs in

flexible charged polymers, including single-stranded DNA and polysterene sulfonate; results in these polymers can be used to determine the correct physical mechanism of the precipitation. The precipitation can be explained by a simple meanfield theory of counterion condensation along the chains if correlations between the condensed counterions and the monomers are included in the analysis.<sup>2</sup> The correlations must be computed with solid-state physics techniques,<sup>3</sup> rather than liquid-state theory, given that the precipitate is a dense system of charges. An ionic glass structure is formed,<sup>3,4</sup> which is reminiscent of the Wigner crystal arrangement of counterions found in simpler morphologies, such as between aligned charged plates (Gelbart et al., ref. 6) or rods (see papers cited in Gelbart et al., ref. 3). The cohesive energy of the ionic glass precipitate increases as the size of the multivalent ions decreases, as in conventional ionic glasses or crystals.

The physical size of the chain, which is determined by its conformation, is another important scale to describe the monomolecular collapse of DNA into toroidal conformations. Electrostatic interactions in low ionic strength solutions lead to two possi-

ble polyelectrolyte conformations: stretched with a reduced charge and compacted with nearly zero charge.2 The renormalized or effective charge of chains with N charged monomers due to counterion condensation strongly depends on the chain conformation. Mean-field models, including Poisson-Boltzmann of ion penetrable spheres, give a reduced effective charge proportional to the size of a charged sphere R. If the stretched chain  $(R \sim N)$  collapses into a dense sphere ( $R \sim N^{1/3}$ ), its reduced charge is much less than the effective charge of the stretched conformation,  $N \ln N$ . If the correlations between the condensed ions and the monomers are included, the dense sphere is nearly neutral.<sup>2,3</sup>

Chain precipitation occurs if the entropy decrease of the counterions neutralizing the sphere's charge is overcompensated by the gain in short-range electrostatic attractions per monomer in the sphere.<sup>3</sup> These attractions cause the chains to compact: to a toroid in semiflexible chains or a sphere in flexible chains. Consequently, monomolecular DNA condensation occurs because finite. stretched, rodlike chains of charged monomers have higher effective charge, and therefore higher energy, than chains collapsed to their smallest possible size. Gelbart and coauthors mention that isolated spheres have higher effective charge than isolated rods. But this is only true if the finite rods have no short-range cutoffs, as in a continuous zerowidth line of charge with zero-size counterions,2 and if the concentration of chains is identically zero, which is physically impossible.

The size of the multivalent ions is also important in determining the possibility of charge inversion (see Gelbart et al., ref. 11), and the re-dissolution of the precipitate at large salt concentrations.3 These phenomena are determined by the relation of the chemical potential of the multivalent ions in the solution and the inverse screening length. Large multivalent particles do not contribute to screening; they readily overcharge a polyelectrolyte such as DNA wrapped around histones. Small multivalent salts, however, have complex thermodynamics in concentrated ionic solutions, and do not necessarily lead to polyelectrolyte charge inversion.

In summary, contrary to our intuition, short length scales strongly influence the physics of large charged systems.

#### References

- 1. E. Raspaud, M. Olvera de la Cruz, J.-L. Sikorav, F. Livolant, Biophys. J. 74, 381 (1998).
- P. Gonzales-Mozuelos, M. Olvera de la Cruz, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 3145 (1995).
- 3. F. J. Solis, M. Olvera de la Cruz, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 2030 (2000); EPJdirect E 1, 1 (2000), available at http://link.springer-ny.com/link/service/ journals/10105/fpapers/0002001/ 0002e001/0002e001.pdf.
- 4. N. Lee, D. Thirumalai, http:// xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9907199.

FRANCISCO J. SOLIS (SolisFJ@hiram.edu)Hiram College Hiram, Ohio

#### MONICA OLVERA DE LA CRUZ

(m-olvera@northwestern.edu)Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois

GELBART, BRUINSMA, PINCUS, AND PARSEGIAN REPLY: We thank Francisco Solis and Monica Olvera de la Cruz for calling attention to the novel statistical mechanical properties that arise with flexible polyelectrolytes. We also agree that the lineand point-charge condensation behaviors we describe do not occur except in the ideal limit of infinite dilution (as we did specify in our text). At the levels of both mean-field and correlation approaches, physical issues arise with flexible chains that are related to-but different fromthose treated in our article. Because of space constraints we focused primarily on rigid polyelectrolytes, and omitted many other interesting aspects of recent studies on molecular and colloidal electrostatics.

WILLIAM M. GELBART (gelbart@chem.ucla.edu)University of California, Los Angeles ROBIJN F. BRUINSMA

> (bruinsma@iLorentz.org) University of Leiden The Netherlands

#### PHILIP A. PINCUS

(fyl@physics.ucsb.edu) University of California, Santa Barbara

V. ADRIAN PARSEGIAN

(aparsegi@helix.nih.gov) National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland

## The Trouble with Superlatives

The title of the book reviewed in the October 2000 issue of PHYSICS TODAY (page 81) boldly states The Discovery of Anti-matter: The Autobiography of Carl David

Anderson, the Youngest Man to Win the Nobel Prize. But it's just not so. William Lawrence Bragg was born in Australia in March 1890. Together with his father, William H. Bragg, he was awarded the Nobel Prize in physics in 1915 for their joint work on x-ray diffraction, beating Anderson by a good six years.

HAROLD GOLDWHITE (hgoldwh@calstatela.edu) California State University, Los Angeles

[Editor's Note: This is one of several letters we received on this subject. We contacted the publisher, World Scientific Publishing Co, whose editor-in-chief sent us the following response.]

K. K. Phua replies: After consulting the series editor of the book The Discovery of Anti-matter, we admit that we erred. Indeed, William Lawrence Bragg was the youngest to win the Nobel Prize in Physics. We will insert an erratum in the book to the effect that Carl Anderson was the second voungest. Two professors in the history of physics missed this point when they reviewed the book for us.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

K. K. PHUA

World Scientific Publishing Co River Edge, New Jersey

## India, Pakistan, NPT

I mistakenly identified Iran and Iraq (PHYSICS TODAY, December 2000, page 25) as two of the four nonsignatories of the Non-Proliferation Treaty. The two other nonsigning countries were India and Pakistan.

SIDNEY D. DRELL

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Menlo Park, California

### Corrections

October 2000, page 98—Emily Shuk-Chi Ching winner of the Overseas Chinese Physics Association's Achievement in Asia Award, is affiliated with the Chinese University of Hong Kong and not the University of Hong Kong as reported.

December 2000, page 60—Mike Lazardis's gift of Can\$100 million for the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics in Waterloo, Canada, is about US\$64 million, not \$78 million as reported.

December 2000, page 61—In several places, the printer mistakenly replaced the Japanese yen symbol, ¥, with a bullet.