

**STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP,
MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULATION**
(Act of 12 August 1970; Section 3685, Title 39, USC)

1. Title of publication: PHYSICS TODAY
 2. Publication no.: 0031-9228
 3. Date of Filing: 1 October 2000
 4. Frequency of issue: Monthly
 5. No. of issues published annually: 12
 6. Annual subscription price: \$190.00
 7. Location of known office of publication:
2 Huntington Quadrangle, Melville, NY 11747-4502
 8. Location of the headquarters or general business
offices of the publisher: One Physics Ellipse, College
Park, MD 20740-3843
 9. Names and addresses of publisher, editor and
managing editor:
Publisher: Randolph A. Nanna, American Institute of
Physics, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD
20740-3843
Editor: Stephen G. Benka, American Institute of
Physics, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD
20740-3843
Managing Editor: None
 10. Owner (if owned by a corporation, its name and
address must be stated and also immediately there-
under the names and addresses of stockholders
owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount
of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names
and addresses of the individual owners must be
given. If owned by a partnership or other unincor-
porated firm, its name and address, as well as that
of each individual, must be given. If the publication
is published by a nonprofit organization, its name
and address must be stated.): American Institute of
Physics, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD
20740-3843
 11. Known bondholders, mortgagees and other security
holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total
amount of bonds, mortgages or other securities: None
 12. The purpose, function and nonprofit status of this
organization and the exempt status for Federal
income tax purposes: Has not changed during the
preceding 12 months
 13. Publication name: PHYSICS TODAY
 14. Issue date for circulation data below: July
 15. Extent and nature of circulation:
- | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|---------|
| A. Total number of copies (net press run) | | | |
| Average* | 126 816 | July** | 123 581 |
| B. Paid and/or requested circulation | | | |
| 1. Sales through dealers and carriers, street ven-
dors and counter sales | Average* none | July** none | |
| 2. Paid or requested mail subscriptions | Average* 119 521 | July** 119 018 | |
| C. Total paid and/or requested circulation
(sum of B1 and B2) | Average* 119 521 | July** 119 018 | |
| D. Free distribution (samples, complimentary and
other free) | Average* none | July** none | |
| E. Free distribution outside the mail (carriers or
other means) | Average* 5 049 | July** 3 063 | |
| F. Total free distribution (sum of D and E) | Average* 5 049 | July** 3 063 | |
| G. Total distribution (sum of C and F) | Average* 124 570 | July** 122 081 | |
| H. Copies not distributed | | | |
| 1. Office use, leftovers and spoiled | Average* 2 246 | July** 1 500 | |
| 2. Returns from news agents | Average* none | July** none | |
| I. Total (sum of G, H1 and H2—should equal net
press run shown in A) | Average* 126 816 | July** 123 581 | |
- Percent paid and/or requested circulation
(C/G×100)
Average* 95.95% July** 97.49%
- * Average number of copies of each issue during pre-
ceding 12 months
- ** Actual number of copies of single issue published
nearest to filing date.
- I certify that the statements made by me above are
correct and complete
- Richard Baccante, Treasurer

or numerical inversion of the scatter-
ing problem for intensity data meas-
ured, for example, in the Fresnel or
near-field regime for phase-contrast
radiography. In this regard, the
synchrotron-based work of Keith
Nugent and colleagues at Melbourne
University in Australia provides an
elegant demonstration for a pure
phase object.²

References

1. E. Forster, K. Goetz, P. Zaumseil,
Krist. Tech. **15**, 937 (1980).
 2. See, for example, K. A. Nugent *et al.*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2961 (1996).
- STEPHEN WILKINS**
(wilkins@cmst.csiro.au)
Commonwealth Scientific and
Industrial Research Organization
Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Quark 'Color' Clarified

I would like to correct any possible
misunderstanding regarding
quark "color" that I may have con-
veyed in my review of Helge Kragh's
book *Quantum Generations* (PHYSICS
TODAY, May, page 56). O. W. Green-
berg's order-three parastatistics (a
generalization of Fermi statistics)¹
and Yoichiro Nambu's SU(3)" group,²
now called the "color" group, give
identical results in the group-
theoretical characterization of
quark states. The important addi-
tional step taken by Nambu was to
introduce an octet of gauge fields
(now called color gluons) coupled to
the color charge. Nambu's SU(3)" is
a local gauge symmetry that is the
basis of quantum chromodynamics.
I thank Greenberg for calling my
attention to a possible misreading
of the distinction I was drawing
between color as a quantum number
and color as a gauge symmetry.

References

1. O. W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**,
598 (1964).
 2. Y. Nambu, in *Preludes in Theoretical
Physics*, A. De-Shalit, H. Feshbach, L.
van Hove, eds., North Holland, Am-
sterdam (1966).
- LAURIE M. BROWN**
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois

Learning to Control Quantum Systems

We enjoyed the article in Search
and Discovery (PHYSICS TODAY,

September, page 24) on our recent
work applying coherent control of
quantum systems to the topic of
x-ray generation. The article does
an excellent job of covering recent
experimental work in this area.
However, we feel it is important to
acknowledge that this experimental
work was motivated by the sugges-
tions of Herschel Rabitz of Princeton
University. Rabitz first proposed, by
demonstrating in theoretical simula-
tions, that "learning control" of quan-
tum systems could be applied both to
achieve a desired outcome,¹ and as a
"probe" to learn more about the sys-
tem being studied.² These early
works provided strong motivation for
the experimenters to persevere in
what we expect to be an exciting new
field of "engineered" quantum dynam-
ics. This is also a beautiful example of
a case in which engineering concepts,
when adapted appropriately, can have
fundamental impact in basic physics
and chemistry.

References

1. R. Judson, H. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. Lett.
68, 1500 (1992).
2. H. Rabitz, Adv. Chem. Phys. **101**, 315
(1997).

HENRY C. KAPTEYN
(kapteyn@jila.colorado.edu)
MARGARET M. MURNANE
(murnane@jila.colorado.edu)
JILA, University of Colorado
Boulder

Correction

July, page 23—Ulrich Bonse and
his colleagues at the University of
Dortmund (Germany) and at the
Hamburg Synchrotron Radiation
Laboratory at the German Electron
Synchrotron (DESY) are also inves-
tigating the use of phase-contrast
x-ray interferometry for clinical and
medical applications.¹ In particular,
they have demonstrated the poten-
tial of three-dimensional phase-
contrast x-ray microtomography
using synchrotron radiation. With
this technique they have mapped the
mass density of nerve and brain
tissues in intercranial rat speci-
mens. The experimenters observe a
sharp delineation between the two
types of tissue as well as clear pat-
terns of nerve fibers. They also see
increases in mass density that could
identify initial stages of tumor
growth.¹

1. U. Bonse, F. Busch, Prog. Biophys.
Molec. Biol. **65**, 133 (1996).
2. F. Beckmann *et al.*, Biophys. J. **76**, 98
(1999).