

LETTERS (continued from page 15)

proximately harmonically in a one-dimensional potential well between plate and cathode. . . . Similarly, in the magnetron type of maser, stimulated emission of radiation takes place as the electrons undergo transitions to states of lower angular momentum quantum number."² Thus the founding fathers recognized masers as oscillators and were also drawn to consider other oscillators (including magnetrons!) using maser/laser language.

While extending laser concepts to include atom lasers, it may also be appropriate to mention other nonelectromagnetic lasers. They include at least the widely studied phonon masers first discussed by Townes and Nicholaas Bloembergen and the recently reported exciton lasers, as well as the neutrino lasers that are postulated to have been important in the early moments of the universe.³

References

1. See, for example, M. Bertolotti, *Masers and Lasers: An Historical Approach*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, England (1983), p. 80, on the maser, and J. Hecht, *The Laser Guidebook*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1992), p. 14, on the laser.
2. G. Gould, in *Quantum Electronics: A Symposium*, C. H. Townes, ed., Columbia U. P., New York (1960), p. 291.
3. C. H. Townes, N. Bloembergen, in *Quantum Electronics: A Symposium*, C. H. Townes, ed., Columbia U. P., New York (1960), p. 405. A. Mysyrowicz, E. Benson, E. Fortin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 896 (1996). N. Kaiser, R. A. Malaney, G. D. Starkman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1128 (1993).

LEE W. CASPERSON

(lcaspers@ee.pdx.edu)

Portland State University
Portland, Oregon

Planned ITER Study Is Deferred, not Nixed

The well-done story by Irwin Goodwin entitled "National Research Council Studies Operate Under New Openness Rules" in your August issue (page 48) states that the Department of Energy "canceled an NRC study of the controversial International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor," adding that the NRC had already chosen the committee to do the work. Strictly speaking, DOE has deferred rather than canceled the study, pending resolution of the legal issues. And the NRC has not selected a committee.

NORMAN METZGER

(nmetzger@nas.edu)

National Research Council
Washington, DC

Is Physics a Laughing Matter?

You can help celebrate PHYSICS TODAY's 50th birthday by sending us humorous items about physics or physicists suitable for publication in the magazine. Contributions can include:

- Cartoons, drawings or photographs.
- Articles (no longer than 1500 words)
- Parodies (no longer than 1500 words)
- Short stories (no longer than 1500 words)
- Poems (no longer than 500 words)

Individuals whose material is selected for publication will be asked to sign the usual AIP transfer of copyright agreement. Include your complete mailing address, daytime phone number, and fax or e-mail address if available. Contributions will not be returned, so please submit good photocopies instead of originals.

Send your contributions by 1 December 1997 to:

Laughing Matter
Physics Today
American Institute of Physics
One Physics Ellipse
College Park, MD 20740-3843

Socioeconomic Factors, Not EMFs, Put Children at Risk for Leukemia

After studying the potential links between electromagnetic fields and cancer and other health problems, the National Academy of Sciences' National Research Council panel concluded that the only possible reason for concern was the "weak but statistically significant association" between people's proximity to high-voltage power lines and childhood leukemias, as reported in PHYSICS TODAY (January, page 49).

However, I am sure that the British epidemiologist Leo J. Kinlen and his collaborators would advance other prime suspects as risk factors for childhood leukemias—specifically, certain socioeconomic factors. In a series of reports prepared between 1990 and 1996,¹ Kinlen *et al.* revealed that seven specific population mixes each lead to significant increases in childhood leukemias, and sometimes also in childhood non-Hodgkin lymphomas, in the UK. "Significant" here means that the probability that the given relative risk values are caused by purely statistical fluctuations is less than 5% or even less than 1%.

Kinlen *et al.* found relative risk values of 1.58 in new towns (planned postwar communities); 1.41 in rapidly growing towns and villages; 1.65 in rural areas with many drafted soldiers; 1.53 in rural areas with many oil workers; 1.61 in rural areas with many construction workers and rich parents; 1.50 in towns in which the number of commuters had increased strongly; 1.76 in villages that had many urban evacuees during 1947–49 as a result of World War II air raids. They also determined that parents having a high socioeconomic status is often a further risk factor for both childhood leukemias and non-Hodgkin lymphomas.

Kinlen *et al.*'s findings for the UK have been confirmed in part by Pierluigi Cocco and his colleagues² in Italy. Looking at a small cluster of childhood leukemia cases in Carbonia, Sardinia, they came up with some relative risk values that were remarkable: 0.3 for high socioeconomic status of parents (in contrast to Kinlen's results); 4.1 for parental birth outside Carbonia (in agreement with Kinlen); 4.0 for having a well in the backyard; 4.0 if pregnant mothers had taken anti-nausea medicine; 2.7 for a family history of cancer.

According to Cocco *et al.*, other risk factors associated with lower relative risk values, in the range 1.5 to 1.9, are maternal smoking, paternal drinking of at least 60 grams of alcohol per day, electrical substation within 300 meters and solvents at the paternal workplace. Other situations, like the presence of pets or radon daughters, are even less important. For policymakers, journalists and epidemiologists, it would certainly be fruitful to take into account the Kinlen and the Cocco studies.

References

1. L. J. Kinlen *et al.*, *Br. Med. J.* **310**, 763 (1996), and references therein.
2. P. Cocco *et al.*, *Arch. Environmental Health* **51** (3), 242 (1996).

HANS PENKUHN

Joint Research Centre of
the European Commission
Ispra, Varese, Italy

Up with IPCC Climate Report; Down with per Capita Energy Use

Fred Singer continues to disseminate incorrect information about the climate change issue (PHYSICS TODAY, "Letters," August, page 84).

He claims that the "discernible human influence" statement given in the "Second Assessment Report" (SAR) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was based