

LETTERS

However, if we can measure sea level, with its tremendous tidal and meteorological environment, to a fraction of an inch, perhaps we can find a similar "average" for some point in the atmosphere and compare it with past results.

JOHN G. KEPROS
Sunnyvale, California

DAVIDSON REPLIES: I restate my only point: NASA has been consistent in planning a 15-year lifetime for HST. This has been reflected in internal planning, in testimony to Congress and in public information provided by NASA.

GREG DAVIDSON
NASA
10/93 Washington, DC

IUPAP Sponsors Only International Meetings

While I share the sentiments expressed in "What's Wrong with These Conferences?" by Henry H. Barschall and Willy Haeberli (December 1992, page 79), I would like to make two comments.

Barschall and Haeberli suggest that funding agencies use sponsorship by the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics as a criterion for support of a conference. One criterion for sponsorship that IUPAP strictly enforces is the international character of the conference. A number of conferences in the US, while quite cosmopolitan, do not meet this criterion in their organizational makeup. Unless Barschall and Haeberli want all conferences to be international, IUPAP sponsorship for a conference is not an appropriate criterion.

Sometimes funding agencies require the publication of proceedings as a condition for financial support. Thus bringing the concerns of unnecessary proceedings raised by Barschall and Haeberli to the attention of these agencies may also be an avenue to solving the problem.

LU J. SHAM
University of California,
1/93 San Diego

Attending Conferences in China Aids Oppression

I find the letter "Westerners Should Go to China Meetings," by Xin Hao (December 1992, page 15), rather alarming. China, with its hydrogen bomb, developed rocketry, advanced conventional military potential and

PLACEMENT CENTER

to be held in connection with the March Meeting of the
American Physical Society

21 - 24 March 1994

DAVID L. LAWRENCE CONVENTION CENTER PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

The primary purpose of the Center is to arrange personal interviews between physicists seeking employment and prospective employers. Interviews will be arranged between employers' representatives and applicants registered for the meeting who have also registered with the Placement Service.

Universities, colleges, research institutions, industrial organizations and government laboratories are invited to participate in the Placement Center and conduct interviews with physicists attending the meeting. A complete register of physicists seeking employment will be available at a nominal service charge upon request at the meeting and after the meeting.

Information and meeting applications for registering for the Placement Center may be obtained by writing to the Institute's office. The deadline for being included in the Center is 4 March 1994.

Ed Goldin
PLACEMENT CENTER
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS
One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD 20740

THE LIFE AND TIMES OF + MODERN PHYSICS +

History of Physics II

Edited by Melba Phillips

This peerless collection of articles from *Physics Today* offers you an intimate view of the people and events shaping the evolution of modern science and society. It features profiles, personal memoirs, narratives of the development of key fields, and histories of important institutions and organizations. Authors include Murray Gell-Mann on Richard Feynman; Robert Hofstadter on Felix Bloch; Irving Langmuir on pathological science; Emilio Segré on the discovery of nuclear fission; Norman Ramsey on I.I. Rabi; Victor Weisskopf on Wolfgang Pauli; and Rudolph Peierls on Paul Dirac.

1993, 352 pages, illustrated, 0-88318-846-5
Hardcover, \$40.00 **Member price \$32.00**

For Member price, please indicate your AIP Member Society when ordering.

To order call 1-800-488-BOOK

In Vermont: 1-802-878-0315. Fax: 1-802-878-1102.

Or mail check, MO, or PO (include \$2.75 shipping & handling) to:

American Institute of Physics
c/o AIDC, 64 Depot Road
Colchester, VT 05446

**AMERICAN
INSTITUTE
OF PHYSICS**

huge exports of armaments, is surely not a "third world country," as Hao claims, but one of the most formidable powers in the present unstable world, especially since it is no longer kept in check by the erstwhile USSR. Hao also forgets that "Soviet scientist-dissidents" began to call "for boycotts by Western scientists" only after the tottering stage of the abominable Communist regime began; they could not risk such a step while the regime was strong. Thus the fact that in contrast to the former Soviet protesters, "most scientists in China welcome the . . . opportunities to interact with their foreign counterparts at conferences" (and do not seem to protest) is probably more a sign of the regime's oppressiveness than of the scientists' satisfaction with the system.

Hao attempts to alleviate Western scientists' concerns about helping the Communist system by transferring information to individual contacts. Revealingly, she says that "Western scientists go to conferences as individuals, and they can help individual Chinese scientists by providing them with current information." Anyone who knows totalitarian systems from the inside (I have survived two) knows that such regimes have no respect for "individually acquired" information or indeed for anything individual. Hao must know it too.

Finally, I find it offensive that Hao condescendingly dismisses the protests of the few Chinese scientists (such as Fang Li Zhi) who were lucky enough to escape from the clutches of the present rulers of their unfortunate great country and who have taken the trouble to raise their voices in the wilderness.

PAUL ROMAN
2/93 Ludenhausen, Germany

HAO REPLIES: Paul Roman misread my letter and bases his criticism on a few phrases taken out of context. My letter addressed whether Western scientists should boycott conferences in China as a way of protesting human rights abuses. The meeting that prompted my letter was a conference on semiconductor physics sponsored by the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics. So I was writing about conferences on basic research, and the issue was human rights, not "helping the Communist system" by providing it with information on sensitive technology.

Western scientists show real concern for their colleagues in China if they are willing to interact with the majority of Chinese scientists face to face, to hear their voices and to learn about China's situation firsthand.

Nothing is preventing such Western scientists from protesting human rights conditions in China; indeed, their protests, made in China at a conference in the presence of the Chinese, are more effective than moral arguments among themselves in their home countries.

Roman seems rather ignorant of the history and situation of Chinese scientists. The Chinese government has never supported basic research adequately. At its worst—during the Cultural Revolution—the government tried to eliminate basic research (along with all higher education) altogether; it sent scientists and other intellectuals to be "re-educated" as peasants. Now, with the introduction of a market economy, basic research, which does not produce immediate profits, has sunk once again to the bottom of the government's list of priorities. Some Chinese scientists, in spite of adverse conditions and in spite of the government, have managed to continue with basic research. This in itself is defiance.

As for those who have left China to protest from abroad, I mean only to say that their voices are not enough. Obviously, not all Chinese scientists are able to leave the country, or even wish to, but they deserve to be heard nonetheless, for scientific as well as humane reasons.

XIN HAO
9/93 Beijing, China

Jesuits' Role in Revolutionary Science

In general I enjoyed the excellent article "Creativity and Big Science," by John L. Heilbron (November 1992, page 42), but I humbly disagree wholeheartedly with some of his views about the Society of Jesus. Eminent historians of science do not share Heilbron's viewpoints on the Jesuits, either.

Heilbron states that "the theoretical basis of their curriculum was old-fashioned even then," that is, in the 17th and most of the 18th century. Francis Bacon felt differently, since he wrote that their educational methods "are so good that I wish they were on our side."¹ And the Jesuit Christopher Clavius, who was second only to Galileo during his lifetime, is considered by George Sarton as "the teacher who carried the most influence during the Renaissance."² Heilbron is certainly right in stating that "a complete inventory of Jesuit-trained savants would include most of the members of the Paris Academy

of Sciences during the 17th and 18th centuries."

Heilbron also writes, "The Jesuit savants did exactly what their superiors told them to do, and their publications redounded to the glory of the society, not to the advancement of the individual." I do not think that statement is very accurate, since the fundamental motto of the Jesuits is *Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam* ("To the Greater Glory of God"). And it is not true that "the Jesuit savants did exactly what their superiors told them to do," except in the matter of certain religious principles. The great Jesuit scientist of the 18th century Ruggerio Boscovich, the most eminent defender of Newtonian mechanics on the Continent, was a clear example of an independent scientist.³

From a religious viewpoint the Jesuits have always been a clear example of a "new frontier" not always understood by some members of the Church hierarchy or by great lay scientists and thinkers such as Pascal.

References

1. F. Bacon, *Advancement of Learning*, Everyman, London (1954).
2. G. Sarton, *Six Wings: Men of Science in the Renaissance*, Indiana U. P., Bloomington (1957).
3. P. A. A. Laura, *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **33**, 593 (1991). W. Johnson, *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **33**, 579 (1991).

PATRICIO A. A. LAURA
3/93 Instituto de Mecanica Aplicada
Bahia Blanca, Argentina

HEILBRON REPLIES: Patricio A. A. Laura calls attention to a few rhetorical flourishes that I freely concede are exaggerations. My purpose was not to give a nuanced account of the contributions of the Jesuits to the Scientific Revolution. I tried to do that in my *Electricity in the 17th and 18th Centuries* (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1978) and *Elements of Early Modern Science* (the same, 1982), in which I claim that "the single most important contributor to the support of the study of experimental physics in the seventeenth century was the Catholic Church, and within it, the Society of Jesus."

JOHN L. HEILBRON
9/93 University of California, Berkeley

Correction

September, page 78—In addition to the positions mentioned in the news story on the Franklin Institute medalists, Serge Haroche has been a part-time professor at Yale University and is currently a member of the Institut Universitaire de France. ■