

34, 632 (1971); reprinted in J. Schwarz, ed., *Superstrings*, vol. 1, World Scientific, Singapore (1985), p. 248.

JEAN-LOUP GERVAIS
Ecole Normale Supérieure
Paris, France

BUNJI SAKITA
City College of the City University
of New York
New York, New York

6/92

Gamma-Ray Bursters: Another Scenario

Your excellent news story "Compton Observatory Data Deepen the Gamma-Ray Burster Mystery" (February 1992, page 21) is thorough but not quite complete. I have proposed another cosmological speculation to explain gamma-ray bursters in a paper entitled "The Strong Magnetic Field AGN-Quasar-Galaxy Formation Paradigm."¹ My 30-year-old strong-magnetic-field model for the cores of active galactic nuclei and quasars postulates the existence of an extremely intense, relativistic, gravitationally bound current loop around the central object, presumably a black hole.^{2,3} A large fraction of the energy of the original gravitational collapse is stored in the current loop.

With an isotropic distribution observed for gamma-ray bursts by the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, a reasonable concept from this strong-magnetic-field model is that when chunks of neutral matter (of asteroid size, perhaps) race across the intense primordial relativistic current loop, gamma-ray bursts of 1–500 seconds are produced, similar to what happens when one passes a target through an electron synchrotron beam. From table 1 in reference 3, which is deduced from a classic paper by Julian Schwinger,⁴ the electron energy in the current loop is estimated to be as high as 100 GeV for a young, newly forming galaxy.

If only $1/10^9$ of the galactic mass makes up the gravitationally bound current loop, the stored energy in the loop is about 10^{58} ergs, a significant fraction of which can be converted into gamma rays by a variety of well-known nuclear reactions and other processes. The millisecond rise times of spikes in the gamma bursts describe the size of the slender filaments that make up the current loop, and the intensity time pattern of the burst indicates the loop structure. The cyclotron resonance lines observed previously are consistent with the extremely intense magnetic field adjacent to the gravitationally bound current loop.

The strong magnetic field's competitor, the thermal accretion disk model, has severe problems, as emphasized by Wayne Stein⁵ and others. In addition, a recent paper by K. P. Rauch and Roger D. Blandford⁶ has, for one particular quasar, ruled out not just the quasistatic disk but the thick disk and, in fact, all other opaque thermal models. Since the strong-magnetic-field model is clearly not an opaque thermal model, it is still viable and perhaps the leading candidate for the "central engine" for AGN quasar cores. Details on the production of gamma-ray bursts in this model will be reported elsewhere.

References

1. H. D. Greyber, in *Testing the AGN Paradigm*, AIP Conf. Proc. 254, S. S. Holt, S. G. Neff, C. M. Urry, eds., AIP, New York (1992), p. 467.
2. H. D. Greyber, in *Quasistellar Sources and Gravitational Collapse*, I. Robinson, ed., U. Chicago P., Chicago (1964), p. 389.
3. H. D. Greyber, in *The Center of the Galaxy*, M. Morris, ed., Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (1989), p. 335.
4. J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1912 (1949).
5. W. A. Stein, *Astrophys. J.* **351**, L29 (1990); **373**, 54 (1991).
6. K. P. Rauch, R. D. Blandford, *Astrophys. J.* **381**, L39 (1991).

HOWARD D. GREYBER
2/92 Potomac, Maryland

Clemson University Clarification

I read with interest the news story entitled "Signs of Tighter Job Market Grow; More than Recession at Work," by Jean Kumagai and William Sweet (March 1992, page 55). I was surprised to see Clemson University described as a liberal arts college that received 550 applicants for one faculty position in physics. Clemson is, in fact, the technically oriented land grant university for South Carolina and has a PhD-granting department of physics and astronomy. The number of vacant positions in the department was five. Because of reductions in the state budget, we will probably fill only two this year to ensure sufficient startup funds for the successful candidates. The number of graduate students and the level of external funding in the department have been increasing rapidly in recent years, the intellectual environment is stimulating, and the climate is delightful, but even all that probably does not explain the sudden increase in applicants for faculty posi-

tions (734 compared to 450 last year). The search committee tells me that most of the applicants were highly qualified American citizens. As your news story correctly points out, there was a large number of candidates from industry and the weapons-oriented national laboratories.

PETER J. MCNULTY
Clemson University
Clemson, South Carolina
5/92

KUMAGAI AND SWEET REPLY: We apologize for the errors.

The Millikan Mail Drop Experiment

In response to the letters by Jerry Fields (October 1991, page 150) and Mike Seeds (March 1992, page 102) I would like to say that at least one physicist has been honored with a US stamp. Robert Millikan was on a stamp (the 45-cent stamp, I think). Considering that postal officials are going to honor songwriters and have honored comedians, I would support a series of stamps honoring scientists in various fields. Letters do make a difference; if you agree, the address is Citizens' Stamp Advisory Board, US Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington DC 20260.

WILLIAM J. RICE
4/92 La Porte City, Iowa

Why the Matthew Effect Is Self-Evident

Douglas Brewer's letter (October 1991, page 154) discusses the naming of the Matthew effect in science—the phenomenon of credit being given to the "haves" and being withheld from the "have-nots"—and concludes that it results from a "selective reading of the literature" (namely, the Gospels). It is interesting that Brewer cites a secondary source¹ for the modern description of the effect, not the primary source.² Had Brewer followed the paper trail, he might have discovered what some of us recall: His main point—that Mark described the same effect and published before Matthew—had already been made by Charles D. Geilker,³ whose analysis suggests that the ultimate source was probably Jesus ("private communication"). Brewer's citation of John 15:2, however, does add to Geilker's work.

When the same saying or story (for example, the Christmas story) appears in several Gospels, Matthew is usually cited, perhaps because many people find Matthew the most stylish

and literary of the Gospels. Thus the naming of the Matthew effect is, as Brewer hints, itself an example of the effect. I think this point was also made previously in print, but I can't find the reference!

References

1. V. Kistiakowsky, *PHYSICS TODAY*, February 1980, p. 32.
2. R. K. Merton, *Science* **159**, 56 (1968).
3. C. D. Geilker, *Science* **159**, 1185 (1968).

JAMES E. FELTEN

11/91

Greenbelt, Maryland ■

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULATION

(Act of 12 August 1970; Section 3685, Title 39, USC)

- 1A. Title of publication: *PHYSICS TODAY*
- 1B. Publication no.: 00319228
2. Date of Filing: 1 October 1992
3. Frequency of issue: Monthly
- 3A. No. of issues published annually: 12
- 3B. Annual subscription price: \$115.00
4. Location of known office of publication: 500 Sunnyside Blvd., Woodbury, NY 11797
5. Location of the headquarters or general business offices of the publisher: 335 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017
6. Names and address of publisher, editor and managing editor:
Publisher: American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017
Editor: Gloria B. Lubkin, American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017
Managing editor: Kenneth McNaughton, American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017
7. Owner (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and also immediately thereunder the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of the individual owners must be given. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, its name and address, as well as that of each individual, must be stated. If the publication is published by a nonprofit organization, its name and address must be stated.): American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th St., New York, NY 10017
8. Known bondholders, mortgagees and other security holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages or other securities: Guardian Life Insurance Company of America (mortgagee), 201 Park Ave. South, New York, NY 10003
9. The purpose, function and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for Federal income tax purposes: Has not changed during preceding 12 months
10. Extent and nature of circulation:
A. Total number of copies (net press run)
Average* 121 322 August** 124 472
B. Paid and/or requested circulation
1. Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors and counter sales
Average* none August** none
2. Mail subscriptions
Average* 116 171 August** 121 177
C. Total paid and/or requested circulation
Average* 116 171 August** 121 177
D. Free distribution by mail, carrier or other means, samples, complimentary and other free copies
Average* 2 008 August** 1 677
E. Total distribution (sum of C and D)
Average* 118 179 August** 122 854
F. Copies not distributed
1. Office use, left over, unaccounted, spoiled after printing
Average* 3 143 August** 1 618
2. Returns from news agents
Average* none August** none
G. Total (Sum of E, F1 and 2—should equal net press run shown in A)
Average* 121 322 August** 124 472

* Average number of copies of each issue during preceding 12 months.

** Actual number of copies of single issue published nearest to filing date.

11. I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete.

Arthur T. Bent, Treasurer

RESEARCH TRENDS IN PHYSICS

Preview the Future Direction of Key Physics Research

• A NEW SERIES FROM AIP •

Prominent researchers from around the world exchange ideas and approaches, discuss critical issues, and point the way toward new avenues of research. These works are based largely on invited papers delivered at small, select meetings organized by the La Jolla International School of Physics, a division of The Institute for Advanced Physics Studies.

INERTIAL CONFINEMENT FUSION

Edited by K. Brueckner, *University of California, S.W. Haan, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*, N. G. Basov, *Russian Academy of Sciences*, and C. Yamanaka, *Osaka University*

Offers you a cohesive assessment of current and future research trends in what may be the most challenging area of contemporary energy research. This work is edited by K.A. Brueckner, one of the pioneers in inertial confinement fusion, and examines the latest thinking regarding research in driver energy deposition, thermal and suprathermal electron transport, ICF diagnostics, targets, drivers, and reactors.

1992, 432 pages, 0-88318-925-9, cloth
\$120.00 Members \$96.00

COHERENT RADIATION GENERATION AND PARTICLE ACCELERATION

Edited by A.M. Prokhorov, *Russian Academy of Sciences*, P. Sprangle, *Naval Research Laboratory*, J.M. Buzzi, *École Polytechnique*, and K. Wille, *University of Dortmund*

Edited by Nobel Prize winner A.M. Prokhorov, these incisive essays review free-electron lasers and microwave generators, relativistic beam physics, and radiation and energy physics, as well as accelerators and synchrotron radiation physics. The applied interdisciplinary nature of the presentations makes this a most valuable resource.

1992, 528 pages, 0-88318-926-7, cloth
\$120.00 Members \$96.00

NONLINEAR AND RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS IN PLASMAS

Edited by V. Stefan, *The Institute for Advanced Physics Studies, La Jolla*

Prominent U.S. plasma physicists examine the applications of nonlinear and relativistic effects induced by external and/or self-consistent fields in plasmas. Emphasis is placed on unifying the principles and techniques related to apparently disparate phenomena. For technicians and researchers in thermonuclear fusion, particle beam physics, and plasma physics.

1991, 750 pages, illustrated, 0-88318-787-6, cloth
\$120.00 Members \$96.00

FORTHCOMING

CHAOTIC DYNAMICS AND TRANSPORT IN FLUIDS AND PLASMAS

Edited by I. Prigogine, *University of Texas and University of Brussels*, W. Horton, *University of Texas*, Y. Ichikawa, *National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan*, and G. Zaslavsky, *Space Research Institute, Moscow*

This superb collection of invited papers, edited by Nobel laureate I. Prigogine, offers you an excellent overview of current and future trends in chaotic dynamics, plasma and fluid physics, nonlinear phenomena and chaos, and transport and turbulence studies. An ideal reference guide for students and researchers in chaos, plasma physics, and fluid transport.

May 1993, 460 pages, 0-88318-923-2, cloth
\$120.00 (tent.) Members \$96.00 (tent.)

CALL TOLL FREE: 1 (800) 488-BOOK

Members of the following AIP Member Societies are entitled to a 20% discount. To qualify, please indicate your affiliation: APS/OSA/ASA/SOR/AAPT/ACA/AAS/AAPM/AVS/AGU/SPS
Shipping & Handling: \$2.75 first book, \$.75 each additional book



Marketing and Sales • 335 East 45th Street • New York, NY 10017

