## Thin Film Products

Reliable, Quality Products at Reasonable Prices





# **Crystals**

**UNSURPASSED ACCURACY** 

GOLD and SILVFR 6 MHz and 5 MHz .550 inch diameter



### Accessories

Sensor Heads, Feedthroughs, and more



2908 Oregon Ct., Torrance, CA 90503 (213) 320-6604 FAX 213-320-6609 • Telex 490000-1973 MXT UI

Circle number 143 on Reader Service Card

"FTN77/386 is simply the best FORTRAN compiler on any platform, anywhere - and believe me, I've tried them all." (available for 386 or 486, DOS or Unix)

"I saw INTERACTER as a graphics library for PC, Unix and VAX, but now I use it for menus, input screens and system access too. We can build great user interfaces - portably."

"We started with 150,000 lines of vintage FORTRAN... SPAG translated it to beautifully structured Fortran 77 which worked first time. The whole operation took less than 2 man days; we estimated 6 man months without SPAG. That's what I call productivity"

STOP PRESS The plusFORT toolkit (which includes SPAG) has been greatly enhanced. New features include a global static analyser, standardisation of declarations, automatic clutter removal, variable renaming and many more.

Call today for a free demo diskette featuring these (and other) products.

If you use FORTRAN, you MUST check this one out.

Polyhedron Software Ltd. Tel. (+44) 865-300579 Fax (+44) 865-300232 Linden House, 93 High Street, Standlake, WITNEY, OX8 7RH

Compuserve 100013,461 US TOLL-FREE FAX 1-800-777-5519

Circle number 144 on Reader Service Card

Anyone who wishes to support this effort or to recommend another scientist for similar recognition should write to the Citizen's Stamp Advisory Board, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington DC 20260. In addition to honoring the scientist, this is an excellent way of bringing science and technology to the attention of the public.

JERRY L. FIELDS University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio

She Likes the Land of Ten Thousand Lakes

2/91

After reading William Sweet's news story about the Soviet physicists joining the new theoretical physics institute in Minneapolis (February 1991, page 83), I felt I must write in support of the city and its location. I went to Minneapolis to interview in January a couple of years ago, and was impressed by its attractiveness, safety, cleanliness and vibrant "university city" atmosphere. It's true that Minnesota often experiences very cold spells in January and February, which personally I would enjoy, although I know many find such weather oppressive. But these brief intervals of discomfort are amply repaid during the rest of the year; in particular the summers are characterized by temperatures and humidities that are neither too high nor too low. Also, Minnesota has large areas of undeveloped hinterland, mainly in the form of lakes and forests, in which a rich population of wildlife flourishes relatively undisturbed. It's easy to find peace and solitude just a short distance from the city.

Although California is undeniably rich in natural beauty, it is also hot, bright, dry, overpopulated and overdeveloped. I'm with the Soviet physicists!

> ALISON CAMPBELL The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland

## The 'Matthew' Effect: The Gospel Truth

9/91

While turning out some old copies of PHYSICS TODAY recently, I came across a letter from Caroline L. Herzenberg (December 1989, page 98) that mentioned the so-called Matthew effect in science, which "consists in the accruing of greater increments of recognition for particular scientific contributions to scientists of considerable repute and the withholding of such recognition from scientists who have not yet made their mark." The effect takes its name from Matthew 13:12-"For whosoever hath, to him shall be given, and he shall have abundance; but whosoever hath not, from him shall be taken away even that which he hath" (Revised Version; see also Matthew 25:29). It seems that vet again a selective reading of the literature, rather than a scholarly search, has led to injustice to other authors, in this case three others.

Mark (4:25) wrote of the same effect, in almost the same words, around 65 AD-some 20 years before Matthew. So did Luke (8:18 and 19:26), later than Matthew. John's version (15:2) is different and gives a clearer understanding of the effect, which is not quite the simple one that could be ascribed to it from the wording of the other three.

At least in this case the sole attribution to Matthew was presumably not made to flatter someone who could be useful in the future—or if it was, the attributor may be in trouble.


### Reference

9/90

1. V. Kistiakowsky, Physics Today, February 1980, p. 32.

Douglas F. Brewer University of Sussex Brighton, England

Correction



June, page 134-The photograph accompanying the obituary of Eugene Guth is actually a picture of Francis Yost, whose obituary appears on page 133 of the June issue. The photo of Guth that should have been used appears above.