RKKYologists Unearthed

In Philip W. Anderson's Reference Frame in the January issue (page 9), reference is made to our recent work on the RKKY interaction in a disordered metal. We wish to correct his citation: We collaborated on this work with Anuradha Jagannathan.[1] Furthermore, as we pointed out in reference 1, similar work had been published previously by A. Yu. Zyuzin and B. Z. Spivak,[2] and related work by L. N. Bulaevskii and S. V. Panyukov[3] has also appeared. While these other authors did not address the question of the scaling law that was the subject of Anderson's essay, they pointed out the inapplicability of Pierre-Gilles de Gennes's earlier result.[4] Actually, as also remarked in reference 1, much of the essential physics of the disordered RKKY was discussed qualitatively by P. F. de Chatel[5] in 1981.

References

1. A. Jagannathan, E. Abrahams, M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 436 (1988).
2. A. Yu. Zyuzin, B. Z. Spivak, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 185 (1986) [JETP Lett. **43**, 234 (1986)].
3. L. N. Bulaevskii, S. V. Panyukov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 190 (1986) [JETP Lett. **43**, 240 (1986)].
4. P.-G. de Gennes, J. Phys. Radium **23**, 630 (1962).
5. P. F. de Chatel, Mag. Magn. Mater **23**, 28 (1981).

MICHAEL STEPHEN
ELIHU ABRAHAMS
Rutgers University
Piscataway, New Jersey

2/88

Minding One's Majorons

I enjoyed reading Barbara Levi's news story "Two-Neutrino Double β Decay Seen; Neutrinoless Decay Sought," found in the December 1987 issue (page 19). I found the description of the theoretical parts very exhaustive.

However, the story stated that "Graciela Gelmini . . . and Marco Roncadelli first suggested the existence of the majoron." Actually they first suggested the existence of the *triplet* majoron. The concept of the majoron was first suggested by Y. Chicashige, Rabindra Mohapatra and Roberto Peccei (*Phys. Lett. B* **98**, 265, 1981; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 1926, 1980) in the context of the "singlet" majoron model.

While the singlet majoron model has no implications for double β decay, this original work did influence subsequent research and should be given proper credit.

SHMUEL NUSSINOV
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

2/88

Attracting Tomorrow's Physicists Today

A few thoughts with respect to graduate recruitment: The statistics on American students prove that our profession is gravely, perhaps mortally, ill. Without foreign graduate students, the supply of which is under attack as "exporting American technological leads," university physics research would approach collapse.

Our largest difficulty is cultural. The present generation of students puts a large premium on financial success. At age 50, the median law partner earns $160 000 a year (1985 data). Among full professors in the physical sciences, salaries greater than a third of this are rare, while salaries under a quarter of this are common. As changing the salary figures is implausible, we should cultivate students of a monastic bent, those for whom the pursuit of intellectual excellence greatly outweighs mundane financial matters.

"The excitement of physics" is not a proper advertisement. If by excitement we mean the cardiac, muscular and respiratory responses attendant to stimulation of the adrenals—and this is how "excitement" is interpreted by the juveniles we reach—it is not honest to claim that physics is exciting. And why should we promise excitement? A person who craves short-term gratification is unlikely to have the patience or serenity needed to attack hard problems.

We might better promise membership in an elite, open to those with some talent and a great willingness to work hard. "The secrets of the elect, known only to the few" has a long history as a successful sales pitch.

While there is no reason to believe that we can increase the number of female graduate students to match

Counters and Timers that GROW with your needs

. . . from Visual Readouts



to



Computer Controlled NIM . . .



NOW a Counter/Timer that can be tailored to your changing requirements. The NEW EG&G ORTEC Model 996 Counter & Timer and 997 Counter grow instantly through plug-in options:

START with the basic visual readout.

STEP UP to the automated ORTEC print loop.

EXPAND to complete computer control with RS-232-C and/or IEEE-488 interfaces.

For more information on the 996 Counter & Timer and the 997 Counter, call the USA HOTLINE (800-251-9750) or your area representative.

EG&G ORTEC

100 Midland Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0895 U.S.A. • (615) 482-4411 • TELEX 499-3119 EGG OKRE UI

CANADA	FRANCE	WEST GERMANY	ITALY	THE NETHERLANDS	UNITED KINGDOM
(416) 475-8420	01-60.77.93.66	089-926920	02-7610267	030-887520	0344-423931

Circle number 11 on Reader Service C

the number of male graduate students, we should at least try. There are few mechanisms whereby recruiting more women will scare away men. Consideration should be given to alternative teaching modes that do not sacrifice intellectual rigor. Some students apparently benefit from single-sex rather than mixed classroom situations, perhaps because faculty can confuse aggressiveness with intellectual ability. Under present legal constraints, this may mean encouraging physics at the surviving women's colleges. Some students (perhaps not equally men and women) are algebraically rather than geometrically inclined. We should accept that drawing pictures and constructing free-body diagrams can be hindrances, not aids, to understanding for some students.

The largest loss of potential physics students occurs, however, in high school, not college. Students who opt out of high-school mathematics sequences without completing the algebra–plane geometry–trigonometry sequence are essentially certain not to become physicists, regardless of their other talents. The removable difficulty is that American parents erroneously (superstitiously?) believe that success in mathematics in due entirely to innate talent. In contrast, parents in many foreign nations tell their children (apparently correctly, to judge from standardized mathematics testing) that hard work is the key to success in mathematics.

GEORGE PHILLIES
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
10/87 *Worcester, Massachusetts*

Industry's Case of Mistaken Identity

Harry Levinson (September 1987, page 114) expresses an extremely myopic viewpoint when he berates research and (if I understand the implications of his letter correctly) reduces the role of experimental physics to a teaching tool.

Although in many respects the duties of scientists and engineers overlap, the two are not the same. In the same way that engineers can and often must carry out research into fundamental phenomena, physicists can perform the duties of engineers. But to view the two as equivalent, as Levinson evidently does, is to misunderstand the role of the physical scientist. Basic research has as its primary goal the understanding of nature and not necessarily the solution of specific engineering problems, as Levinson and apparently Venkatesh Narayanamurti (in his reply to Levinson) and others believe.

The lure of a steady job and comfortable income may make engineers out of many of us who would rather be involved in the interesting and exciting work that constitutes true basic research. Despite our desire to do so, despite the more immediate benefits to be gained and despite my own belief that benefit to society is the eventual product of scientific enquiry, I frankly do not feel that physicists in general should be expected to contribute to a solution to America's current industrial problems. This is, after all, what management is paid to do.

MARK FRIESEL
Battelle Memorial Institute
9/87 *Richland, Washington*

Reflecting on Liquid Mercury Mirrors

Per Andersen's news item (June 1987, page 23) about Ermanno Borra's exploring the potential use of liquid mercury mirrors in astronomical telescopes is interesting, but raises many questions about hazards to the health of those working around the mirrors.

The mercury pollution scares of the early 1970s led to clear documentation of very substantial, severe and cumulative health hazards stemming from exposure to mercury vapor (which is present to a dangerous degree around any open vessel of mercury).

Gallium would be a much safer choice and also has the engineering advantage of being much less dense, thereby facilitating design of a smoothly acting and more economical precision rotation system.

Not surprisingly, there are also disadvantages to gallium. First, it melts at about 30 °C, so a modest heating system would be needed to keep it molten. Second, gallium costs about 30 times as much as mercury. I calculate that a 1.5-m-diameter mirror consisting of 1 cm of gallium riding on a parabolic form would have a mass of about 104 kg. Standard 4N-purity gallium would cost about $300 000 for such a mirror. Perhaps, however, such a mirror could use slightly chemically contaminated gallium, which is commonly recycled from the GaAs and GaP industry, reducing the cost to about $200 000.

The higher materials cost and heating system cost would be partially offset by a lower cost for the precision rotation system. Additional savings would result from reduced costs of health and environmental protection

continued on page 122