


INTEGRATING SPHERE

NEW

INNOVATIVE



INS 250

VERSATILE

Laser Power Measurements, Photometry, Colorimetry

- 25 cm sphere diameter
- Transfer standard lamp included
- Interchangeable input/detector ports
- Base can be on any of three sides
- Custom modifications available

send for Data Sheet INS 250



international light INC

Specialists in Light Measurement

DEXTER INDUSTRIAL GREEN, NEWBURYPORT, MA 01950


TEL. 617-465-5923

TELEX 94-7135

Write for name of sales representative in your area (over 40 countries worldwide).

Circle number 60 on Reader Service Card

electrostatic energy analysis



The Comstock System III Electrostatic Energy Analysis System includes Double Focusing Electrostatic Energy Analyzer, Dual Channelplate Detector, Einzel Lens, Analyzer Power Supply and Electrostatic and Magnetic Shield and Mounting Boxes. **All the major components you need for a state-of-the-art Electrostatic Energy Analysis System. Total system price is \$9250. F.O.B. Oak Ridge, TN.**

comstock

P.O. BOX 199 OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE 37831 (615)483-7690

Circle number 61 on Reader Service Card

letters

Today Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory uses more than half of its budget in non-weapons research, such as energy, environment, biomedicine and peace treaties.

WUNAN LIN

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
10/86 Livermore, California

LAWRENCE REPLIES: Wunan Lin's budget statement is grossly inaccurate; the proportion devoted to non-weapons work has been steadily decreasing and is currently about 20%. The lab's primary mission is still weapons development.

In 1971, when the Regents separated the two labs and gave Ernest Lawrence's name to both, they could not have foreseen Livermore's enormous growth in size, budget, notoriety and influence, making it the "very different kind of institution" I mentioned and emphasizing Lawrence's defense work out of all proportion to his research in basic science and peaceful applications.

Any implication that Livermore people are not fine scientists and hard workers was unintentional; the scientific community is indeed capable of judging their work fairly. My deep concern is that the "two famous names" do strongly influence the judgment of the media, of the public and especially of the politicians; hence government's over-reliance on Livermore people as "expert advisers" on political decisions, although they actually function as lobbyists for the weapons labs.

If the Lawrence name is little used in referring to Livermore, why object to its removal? Unfortunately, the name is in official contracts and is invariably used by the media. Confusion may continue but why cling to a name that obviously intensifies it when removal could at least ameliorate the problem?

MARY B. LAWRENCE


Berkeley, California

4/87

ANNNI and the Devil

In his article on the Devil's staircase (December 1986, page 38) Per Bak has nicely reviewed recent advances related to this fascinating topic.

However, for the record I would like to mention a few names and important contributions omitted from the article: Even Bak did not do everything first. Serge Aubry from Saclay deserves a lot of credit for proving first the existence of complete and incomplete Devil's staircases in a model with competing lengths—the model of Frenkel and Kontorowa or Frank and van der Merwe. In the beginning, Aubry had a



Business As Usual

The Model DRC-82C

Still the Choice of Many for Cryogenic
Temperature Control in the Range 1.4 to 800K

- Keypad entry for all controls and digital display functions.
- Accommodates Lake Shore DT-470 Series interchangeable diodes, germanium and carbon-glass resistors, platinum and rhodium-iron RTDs.
- Full three term (PID), real-time analog control with adjustable heater power output.
- IEEE-488 interface standard.

In Stock



Lake Shore Cryotronics, Inc.

64 E. Walnut St., Westerville, OH 43081 • (614) 891-2243
Telex: 24-5415 Cryotron WTVL • FAX: (614) 891-1392


Circle number 62 on Reader Service Card

High Vacuum Solenoid Valves

Available in brass and stainless steel — straight-thru full port openings — sizes: 3/8", 1/2", 3/4" and 1".

Seals are Viton "A" for low outgassing properties, permitting attainment of low ultimate on the order of 10^{-8} Torr and lower.

Valves are leak tested and guaranteed leak-tight to helium leak detector having a sensitivity to 5×10^{-13} std. cc/sec.






**Vacuum Accessories Corp.
of America**
390 Central Avenue
Bohemia, NY 11716
Tel: 516-589-6464

TEMPLE DATATAP™ GRAPH^c for IBM XT,AT,PS/2

Developed at Temple University Physics Dept.

THE BEST SCIENTIFIC PLOTting SOFTWARE AVAILABLE



INTERACTIVE, WYSIWYG
TEXT CHARTS, TRANSPARENCIES

MIHALISIN ASSOCIATES
600 HONEY RUN ROAD
AMBLER, PA 19002
215-646-3814

Introductory
Price
\$99.00

Circle number 63 on Reader Service Card

precision gas metering valves

IN USE BY LEADING
SEMI-CONDUCTOR
MANUFACTURERS FOR

- ☐ EVAPORATION ☐ SPUTTERING
- ☐ ION IMPLANTATION ☐ THIN FILMS
- ☐ EPITAXIAL DEPOSITION

VACO Precision Metering Valves use a completely new concept for low flow metering that has been granted a patent. Unlike the common needle valves available, VACO Valves have flow patterns that are predictable and linear. Metering is accomplished by a microgrooved pin, movement of this pin by a non rotating bellows sealed micrometer actuator provides for a fine adjustable leak rate. Variations of the geometry of the micro-groove allow for a wide range of flow rates. Available on request from sales office.

Valve components are of low vapor pressure materials permitting the MV-25-XL to control gas flow into high vacuum systems at pressures as low as 1×10^{-10} Torr.



**VACUUM ACCESSORIES CORP.
OF AMERICA**

390 Central Avenue
Bohemia, New York 11716
Area Code 516-589-6464

Circle number 72 on Reader Service Card

letters

hard time getting his beautiful work published at all. Roger Elliott from Oxford constructed the axial next-nearest-neighbor Ising model in 1961 to describe magnetic properties of erbium. Michael Fisher of Cornell University and I have achieved a real breakthrough (judging from the numerous citations) in the analysis of the ANNNI model: We established the stability of a multitude of phases springing directly from the multiphase point. The increasing complexity of the phase diagram (Bak calls it "the most spectacular phase diagram of any model studied so far") at higher temperatures stems from systematic branching processes found by Phillip Duxbury from Kensington, Australia, and myself.

For details on this exciting topic of spatially modulated structures in systems with competing interactions, I recommend a recent review by Julia Yeomans from Oxford, to be published soon in the series *Solid State Physics* (Academic, New York).

WALTER SELKE

*Institut für Festkörperforschung der
Kernforschungsanlage
Jülich, West Germany*

1/87

BAK REPLIES: The phase diagram of the ANNNI model that was presented in my PHYSICS TODAY article, including multiphase points, branching processes and incommensurate phases, was taken from an article by Juhani von Boehm and myself (*Physical Review B* 21, 5297, 1980), which was published well before the papers by Walter Selke, Michael Fisher and Phillip Duxbury.

PER BAK

*Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, New York*

6/87

Supercomputer restrictions

What contrast we found in the July 1986 issue of PHYSICS TODAY! I refer to the Reference Frame column by Leo Kadanoff (page 7) and the letter to the editor by Congressman Terry L. Bruce (page 11). It was refreshing to read Kadanoff's lucid and balanced discussion of computational physics and the openness of academic research. It was only a predictable litany that emanated from Bruce's words, which attempted to convince us that our supercomputers must be protected at any cost. The imposition of restrictions is the short-term solution that denotes long-term blindness, the usual mark of a politician. Openness of communication channels is a broader approach, characteristic of better minds, that can only help in the long run.

One of Kadanoff's ideas was that the Soviet Union can hardly be expected to achieve any kind of weapons development with supercomputers located in the United States. Bruce has obviously made few international telephone calls if he expects anyone to simply call up a supercomputer from abroad. The flaws in Bruce's reasoning shine through in his remarks concerning Enrico Fermi. The example he gave is more than wrong; it seems to be a straw man that Bruce set up to distract us from the unsavory measures he proposes. Bruce said that a blanket prohibition against Eastern-bloc scholars would not work, because scientists from "unfriendly nations" have often assisted the US. As an example he gave Fermi. I am not familiar with the wording of the blanket prohibition, but I suspect it could not be so inane as to address birthplace as opposed to citizenship. Obviously, the former is irrelevant!

The restrictions Bruce proposes are appropriate for wartime, but no war is on or planned. Maintaining a war mentality is a short-term approach that can only hurt us in the long run. I think Fermi would have been appalled by such ideas.

EMILIO E. FALCO

*Harvard-Smithsonian Center
for Astrophysics
Cambridge, Massachusetts*

9/86

Medical imaging mistake

I enjoyed the unintentional brain teaser on medical imaging in *Physics News* in 1986 (page S44, figure 3) in your January 1987 issue. It has been a useful educational tool for my class in medical physics.

For your readers' information, I believe the "answers" should be: Photograph (a) goes with caption (c), "bone"; photograph (b) goes with (a), "soft tissue—lung window"; photograph (c) goes with caption (b), "soft tissue—mediastinum window." Photograph (d) is labeled correctly, though it, like the others, is best viewed by turning the page upside down.

I hope that many of your readers were stimulated by the article and the figure in question. The medical physics community appreciates the exposure of our profession to the broad readership of PHYSICS TODAY.

LARRY BEACH

*Albert B. Chandler Medical Center
Lexington, Kentucky*

2/87

Superluminal communication

David Mermin, in "Is the moon there when nobody looks? Reality and the quantum theory" (April 1985, page 38),

quotes a letter from an unnamed "executive director of a California think tank" as follows: "If in fact we can control the faster-than-light nonlocal effect, it would be possible . . . to make an untappable and unjammable command-control-communication system at very high bit rates for use in the submarine fleet." The idea was proposed by me; the letter, dated 12 March 1982, was from A. Lawrence Chickerling of the Institute for Contemporary Studies to Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering Richard DeLauer.

Mermin, like most physicists today, disagrees with me: "What is clear is that if there is spooky action at a distance, then, like other spooks, it is absolutely useless."

While I agree that Alain Aspect's experiment and all experiments so far demonstrate no controllable nonlocality—that is, no quantum action at a distance that can be used for command, control or communication—one must ask whether or not Nature forbids such an effect. Fred Hoyle provides empirical evidence for "loops in time" that suggests that Nature uses faster-than-light control to create the universe by intelligent design. However, some cosmologists think that "inflation" weakens Hoyle's argument. Nevertheless, I am trying to invent testable, controllable nonlocal quantum mechanisms to check Hoyle's revolutionary conjecture and possibly to render nuclear weapons "impotent and obsolete" as part of the Strategic Defense Initiative.²

My first point is that the Lorentz invariance of special relativity, confirmed by experimental tests of the equivalence of mass to energy and time dilation, does not forbid faster-than-light "tachyon" propagation of energy. It is the additional logically independent postulate of relativistic causality that forbids faster-than-light phenomena. However, causality, in the sense of causes preceding effects and compatibility of spacelike separated correlated quantum measurements, may be compared to Euclid's fifth axiom of parallels, which unduly restricted geometry to zero curvature. Furthermore, the tests of causality by dispersion relations are, in my opinion, far from convincing. I suspect that atomic parity violation by the weak force may be one way of producing photon pair states that violate relativistic causality.

My second point is that some interpretations of quantum mechanics, such as John Wheeler's "delayed choice"³ and John G. Cramer's "transactional interpretation,"⁴ suggest the sort of retroactive loop in time that Hoyle is talking about.