

the mantle of science. Until any significant discoveries are made, science can justifiably ignore it, but it is important to say why: Pseudoscience is a pseudo-scientific system of untestable beliefs steeped in illusion, error and fraud."

What Marks emphasizes and Oppenheim ignores are differences between science and pseudoscience that are far more profound than Oppenheim's trite and superficial conception of a "common goal." We seem to live in an age in which declarations of noble intentions can be used to exculpate anything from mindless credulity to bloody terrorism. What such declarations do, of course, is to encourage credulity and terrorism.

The Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal had its tenth-anniversary celebration in Boulder, Colorado, on 27-29 April 1986. Its journal, *The Skeptical Inquirer*, now has a circulation of 27 000. And beginning with the Austin Society to Oppose Pseudoscience (of which I am president) in October 1981, 20 grass-roots groups loosely associated with CSICOP, each with its own newsletter, have been organized in the US, and about eight groups overseas.

One of the obvious implications of Oppenheim's article is that it was the involvement of men with solid achievements in science in pseudoscience activities that gave the latter their luster. By the same token it is the special responsibility of men with front-rank scientific standing to expose and oppose pseudoscience. On that account we are particularly pleased to count in our ranks Murray Gell-Mann, John A. Wheeler and Eduardo Amaldi, among many others.

LAWRENCE CRANBERG
Austin, Texas

5/86

Janet Oppenheim's interesting article "Physics and psychic research in Victorian and Edwardian England" refers to earlier attempts to "reconcile science and faith" in the hope that "religion could be made more compatible with the teachings of modern science." I have always been puzzled by this controversy. Is there really any incompatibility? The imagined incompatibility seems to involve a presumed difference between scientific and religious methodologies, and an application of Occam's razor leading to the hope that all phenomena should be explicable in purely material terms. For the following reasons, I suggest that the difference has been exaggerated and that the hope is unrealistic.

A significant part of scientific method (namely, the testing of hypotheses by experiment) dates at least from the Old Testament,¹ where Elijah proposed

a public experiment as a means of choosing between contemporary alternative hypotheses (Baal and Jehovah). This shows that the idea of applying to religious topics what we now presume to call "scientific" method predated by a considerable time the application to material topics. Moreover, as Max Born² among others has pointed out, scientific method, in the context of experiments made in the natural sciences at least, is based on faith in the "constancy of Nature." This is a fruitful hypothesis on which we normally act without thinking, although its validity has not been proven. According to Webster's dictionary, one meaning of the term faith is a "firm belief in something for which there is no proof."

Attempts to describe known phenomena in purely material terms have had many successes and some failures.³ One notable failure is surely the attempt to account for the origin of life on Earth. "Natural selection" from pre-existing species clearly cannot account for the origin of anything (perhaps *The Origin of Species* should have been more accurately entitled *The Modification of Species*). The purely material explanation would seem to boil down to the proposal that chance encounters between initially inanimate parts of matter, assisted perhaps by radiation from outer space, continued for a sufficient number of billions of years, led to the origin of life in general and the human brain in particular. Clearly, if such an explanation is tenable, we need wait only a fairly short time until somewhere a factory full of inanimate matter (electronic components, even) accomplishes by chance the comparatively trivial task of assembling itself into a Cray computer—in working order.

References

1. 1 Kings 18:20-40.
 2. M. Born, *Natural Philosophy of Cause and Chance*, Dover, New York (1964).
 3. W. R. Fix, *The Bone Peddlers—Selling Evolution*, Macmillan, New York (1984).
- ARTHUR S. LODGE
(unrelated to Oliver Lodge)

5/86 University of Wisconsin—Madison

Beaming with pride

We were pleased to see a photograph of our experiment appear on the cover of PHYSICS TODAY (April 1986, upper right-hand corner), but dismayed that its source was not acknowledged. For the sake of those astute readers who detected something amiss in the caption (page 35), let us explain what is going on in the picture.

The picture shows not the doubling of

a dye laser beam, but the mixing of the orange dye beam with the blue beam from an argon ion laser, producing an ultraviolet beam at the sum of the two input frequencies. Such sum-frequency-mixing experiments have different phase-matching conditions and are capable of generating shorter wavelengths than are possible with just doubling. Also, by taking advantage of a more powerful ion laser beam, as was done in the experiment shown, they can generate more tunable output power than doubling.

There are two things unusual about our experiment. First, each input beam is a circulating beam within the cavity of its respective laser. The only common path the two beams share is that in the nonlinear mixing crystal (which one may find in the center of the picture by following the two converging beams—it is a potassium dihydrogen phosphate crystal housed in the suspended black box). The beam intensities inside these laser cavities are each about ten times greater than what one could generate as output beams, greatly enhancing the nonlinear mixing process. Intracavity enhancement of both mixing beams is not often done because of the complexity of the optics that it usually requires. But the separation of the two cavities was easy here because the experiment was done in the large-beam-diameter collimated arm of the ring dye laser cavity. Thus the ordinary dispersion of the Brewster-angled, prism end of the KDP crystal was sufficient to separate the beams by several spot diameters.

T. F. JOHNSTON JR
TIMOTHY J. JOHNSTON
Coherent Inc
Palo Alto, California


5/86

Putting fun into physics

Peter Grant is sadly mistaken in his allegation in his letter (August, page 13) that no one has seriously attempted to find solutions to the problem of why students dislike physics.

For the past two summers, the American Association of Physics Teachers has addressed that problem and others in its Physics Teaching Resource Agent program. This program was developed by Donald F. Kirwan of the University of Rhode Island and Jack M. Wilson, executive director of the AAPT. Its aim is to train experienced, enthusiastic high school physics teachers to help other teachers in their local areas.

At an intensive three-week program, the resource agents learn how to use computers, applications of educational research, and methods of building stu-



Florida Temperatures Plummet Near Absolute Zero

If you're looking for a measurable difference in cryogenic instrumentation, look to the South. Scientific Instruments, Inc. has been involved in the design and development of cryogenic sensors, instruments and systems since 1967. SI products are being supplied to universities, private research facilities, space laboratories, and commercial users in the United States and throughout the world.

- SENSORS — Germanium Resistance, Gallium Arsenide, Silicon Diode and Platinum Resistance Thermometers.
- THERMOCOUPLES AND LIQUID LEVEL AND TEMPERATURE AEROSPACE PROBES
- READOUTS AND CONTROLLERS — Digital Temperature Indicators
- LIQUID LEVEL INDICATORS AND DETECTOR PROBE ASSEMBLIES

For more information on standard and custom cryogenic products contact Scientific Instruments, Inc. Detailed data sheets are currently available.



Scientific Instruments Inc.

1101 25th Street • West Palm Beach, Florida 33407
Telephone: (305) 659-5885 • Telex: 51-3474

Circle number 93 on Reader Service Card

“A Pictorial Feast.”*


THE PARTICLE EXPLOSION
by Frank Close, Michael Martin,
and Christine Sutton

A spectacular illustrated tour of the subatomic world, this book contains over 300 photographs of the leading personalities in the field, the increasingly vast and complex machines they use, and the striking images produced by the particles themselves.

“Good though the writing is, the pictures make the book... Many people will enjoy this attractive, reasonably priced volume.”

—*Nature**

\$35.00 at better bookstores or direct from
Oxford University Press, Dept. NW
200 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016
Please enclose \$1 postage and handling



Circle number 94 on Reader Service Card

letters

dent confidence in physics and of dealing with underprepared teachers. A major focus of the institute, however, is making physics relevant and fun for the students. The resource agents share innovative demonstrations, learn how to conduct a physics olympics and perform innovative labs, many of which can be done out of the classroom.

The 200 resource agents around the country (100 trained in 1985 and 100 additional teachers trained this summer) have committed themselves to giving workshops and holding outreach programs for teachers in their hometowns, counties or states. The 1985 resource agents were able to reach 8000 high school physics teachers in the first year of the program—four times the original goal of 2000 teachers. They not only put on workshops but acted as mentors to individual teachers, published local newsletters, performed demonstrations at area conventions of science teachers, wrote articles for AAPT professional journals, and more. A quick glance at the “PTR news and notes” section of the AAPT Announcer will illustrate the scope of our activities.

So somebody out there does care. We in the PTR program are dedicated to improving the teaching of physics on the pre-college level, in the process, we hope, passing our enthusiasm along to others, both students and teachers.

YVETTE A. VAN HISE
Marlboro High School
Marlboro, New Jersey

9/86

More Indian ‘tribal leaders’

It is heartening to see an article on scientists from India who contributed immeasurably to the establishment of great and lasting scientific institutions in that country (May 1986, page 36). William Bhatnagar could have come up with a list of nine “tribal leaders” without doing injustice to the “pioneer scientist” aspect, but doing full justice to the cultural aspect of India because 9 happens to be a very venerated numeral in Indian scriptures. The three scientists I would have liked Bhatnagar to add to his list of six are S.S. Bhatnagar, P.C. Mahalanobis and K.S. Krishnan.

Bhatnagar was the chief architect of the chain of over 40 laboratories that exist now in India under the banner of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research. Mahalanobis was responsible for giving statistics and statisticians an independent existence and respectability in India. Krishnan was the first director of the National Physical Labo-