

letters

THE AUTHOR COMMENTS: In reply to Julius Sumner Miller, I should first make it clear that there is absolutely nothing in my paper or in the use of computers in education more generally which precludes the possibility of the usual live "old fashioned demonstrations." I don't know why Miller has the view that the computer precludes demonstrations. In fact, there is no reason why one can't do just as many as one would ordinarily do.

I would certainly object that it "robs the beauty of physics—it cheats the students—it is a sickness." I think, in fact, that it has a variety of interesting and important applications and that it can make physics more understandable, more humane, to the student.

With regard to demonstrations, the computer can provide experiences for students, for building up student intuition, which are simply not available in the ordinary world. Thus, it can aid the process of intuitive understanding in the same way that ordinary demonstrations do.

Perhaps it will be difficult to convince Miller of the advantages of the computer in education. But for those who are still questioning, in one direction or another, I suggest that the best way to continue would be to go to a center that has sizable amounts of computer-based learning material, and to spend hours running that material. This experience is usually a rewarding one for physics faculty.

In reply to William Allis *et al.*, I am familiar with the Lenz vector. In fact, we use it in our beginning course, because it is one of the few examples of a conserved quantity that can be assigned in a problem!

But I don't think that the Lenz vector is the simplest way of seeing that the motion in velocity space is a circle. There are some much easier ways of doing that. The authors might want to consult Andrea di Sessa on their own campus for more information about this.

ALFRED BORK
University of California
Irvine, California

10/81

Reader frightened

One would not expect to be frightened by reading *PHYSICS TODAY*, but January contains such an alarming statement that it can in fact be frightening. Glenn Stumpff claims (page 93) that he does "not consider a nuclear war the worst thing that could possibly occur; having to live like Andrei Sakharov is worse." If that opinion were held widely, there could be little confidence in a nuclear counterbalance continuing to

preclude world catastrophe. One can only hope that Stumpff's attitude represents merely the extreme fringe, and that neither side of the present counterbalance believes it to be otherwise.

W. H. HENRY
National Research Council
Ottawa, Canada

2/82

Nonphysicist as entrepreneur

The article "The physicist as entrepreneur" appearing in January (page 34) was informative, enjoyable and generally accurate.

One example you chose, however, (Coherent, Inc.) does not fit the thrust of your title theme. The founding organizational, financial, product and marketing concepts and strategies were formulated and executed by the purely marketing-oriented founder/president. Those who know him will verify that he does not fit the physicist/entrepreneur model.

EUGENE L. WATSON
Lasertechnics
Albuquerque, New Mexico

2/82

Teach physics—or student?

I have been teaching high-school physics for sixteen years, possess a Bachelor of Science in physics and have done some graduate work in physics education under two NSF grants. Although I have been a member of AAPT for years and read *The Physics Teacher* and *PHYSICS TODAY* avidly, it has only been within the last year that I have ventured to get involved with the local and national AAPT organization—this through research I am presently doing on the effects grades and testing have on genuine learning.

I have sensed a great deal of concern about the future of physics on the high-school level, the concern that college professors have that they are not receiving the quantity and quality of physics students that they would like and the admissions office's worry that students are staying away from physics courses out of fear of lowering their GPA. Out of this is the anxiety if physics will live or die and if our country can withstand such a collapse of so basic a science.

I suggest that a major factor in this phenomena is that for too long we have been trying to teach physics to students rather than teaching students about physics. If you have difficulty noting the distinction in the prior sentence, that is the difficulty. Each of us must decide if we are primarily teachers or if we are primarily physicists. Although the two are obviously not mutually exclusive, each of us does have a prefer-

UHV INSTRUMENTS

Precision Vacuum Equipment



UHV INSTRUMENTS™ manufactures a complete line of precision accessories for the vacuum/surface specialist: precision manipulators, sample insertion and preparation devices, analysis probes, long stroke bellows devices, chambers, shear and tensile fracture devices. These devices can be used to prepare, fracture, transfer, and manipulate samples under vacuum. The accessories are compatible with most commercial analysis systems manufactured by PHI, Varian, HP, VG, Kratos, and others.

Call our sales office
(416) 335-3103, or write for our
new catalogue.

ULTRA HIGH VACUUM
INSTRUMENTS INC.
901 Fuhrmann Blvd., Buffalo, N.Y.
14203

on the West Coast call our representative
at Process Physics Inc. in San Jose
(408) 942-1611.

Circle number 13 on Reader Service Card