

letters

Teaching and Learning from the Danforth Foundation. We thank Gerald Holton for the encouragement and assistance he provided in the initial phase of the program.

References

1. *Graduate Education and Community Colleges; Cooperative Approaches to Community College Staff Development*, conference proceedings edited by S. V. Martorana, W. Toombs, and D. W. Breneman. Available from National Technical Information Service (Report No. PB-248 789, 1975), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

2. D. Murray Alexander, De Anza College, Cupertino, California, *Summary of the C.P.I.P. of Northern California Community Colleges and the Lawrence Hall of Science, University of California*, 1970.

JEAN FETTER

*Center for Teaching and Learning
Stanford University, Calif.*

W. E. MEYERHOF

*Department of Physics
Stanford University, Calif.*

9/30/77

Delighted reader

The letters to the editor on "Kirkhoff versus Kirchhoff" in November (page 13) were as unexpected a delight as the student who asked me recently to explain "Gesundheit's Law," very carefully.

TED UZZLE

Cambridge, Mass.

11/22/77

Soviet discrimination

We wish to protest the discriminatory practices of the Soviet Union towards Israeli scientists wishing to participate in international scientific meetings held in the USSR.

The Fourth International Meeting on Ferroelectricity (IMF-4) was held in Leningrad during 18-23 September 1977. The meeting was organized by the Academy of Sciences of the USSR under the sponsorship of the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics, the International Atomic Energy Agency, and the European Physical Society. We both had delivered papers at the Third International Meeting on Ferroelectricity in Edinburgh in 1973, and we were looking forward to participating in IMF-4. However, at every stage—soliciting information regarding the meeting; inquiring as to the status of our submitted manuscripts; attempting to obtain any entry visa to the USSR—we, and several other Israeli colleagues, encountered incredible obstacles, which ultimately led to our being unable to attend the meeting. Our individual experiences were as follows:

was submitted on 29 March 1977 to G. Smolensky, Chairman of IMF-4 in Leningrad. Receipt of abstract was never acknowledged, nor was information sent regarding the status of the paper. After seeking the assistance of W. Cochran (University of Edinburgh) and W. J. Merz (RCA, Zurich), we received a letter from Cochran, dated 30 June 1977, stating that he had received a cable from Smolensky that the papers of Lang and Havlin had been accepted. Because of the impossibility of an Israeli receiving a visa to the USSR without first travelling to Western Europe, and lacking any official documentation from the organizing committee that could be used in requesting a visa from Soviet embassies in Europe, Lang was forced to withdraw his paper on 25 August 1977. On 16 September 1977, two days before the meeting opened in Leningrad, Lang received the "Second Circular" about the meeting, mailed from Romania on 30 August 1977. This circular bore no date, but it specified a number of deadlines between 30 April and 18 June 1977! It apparently was sent to the non-Israeli participants early in 1977.

Shlomo Havlin: The abstract of a paper was submitted on 7 February 1977 to Smolensky. As in the case of Lang, acknowledgement of the receipt of the abstract, as well as a response to previous letters requesting information regarding IMF-4, was not received. On 8 July 1977 the secretary general of IUPAP, in response to requests for assistance by the Israel Physical Society, cabled that Havlin's paper was included in the meeting program, and that he need only apply to Intourist for an entry visa. On 9 August 1977, Havlin arrived in Paris and on the same day began the procedure for a visa at the local Intourist representatives. For five weeks Havlin waited in the hope of receiving an entry visa. At every visit to the office of the Intourist representatives as well as to the Soviet embassy, he was assured that "tomorrow" his visa would be issued and there was no basis for concern. All that was issued was confirmation from Intourist at the end of August of a hotel reservation in Leningrad. Havlin had also informed the Soviet officials that he wished to leave Paris for Leningrad no later than 8 September. The seemingly sincere promise that the visa would surely be forthcoming led Havlin to remain in Paris beyond that date and during the last week he went to the embassy daily. On 11 September, with no visa yet available, Havlin finally realized that there was no further point in continuing his futile battle and he left Paris. Although the organizers of the Leningrad Meeting had made firm promises to IUPAP, to Cochran, and to Merz, the Soviet authorities refused to issue a visa.

From our experiences, it is obvious that
continued on page 72

Sidney B. Lang: The abstract of a paper

there
is a
difference
when it comes to
**CRYOGENIC
INSTRUMENTATION
AND SENSORS**

Only Lake Shore Cryotronics, Inc. provides state-of-the-art sensors, instrumentation and close cycle refrigeration systems for virtually every cryogenic application.

INSTRUMENTATION

- Digital thermometers 1-400 kelvin
- Digital thermometer/controllers 1-400 kelvin
- Ultra stable precision controllers 1-400 kelvin
- High stability fixed and programmable current sources
- Liquid level readouts and controls
- Vacuum regulator valves



Model DTC-500 Precision
Cryogenic Temperature Controller

SENSORS

- Germanium resistors <0.05 K to 100 K
- Carbon glass resistors <1 K to 100 K (300 K)
- Silicon diode sensors 1 K to 400 K
- GaAs diode sensors 1 K to 400 K
- Platinum resistors 20 K to 900 K
- Capacitance sensors <1 K to 300 K
- Rhodium iron resistors
- Chromel-gold/iron thermocouples
- Hall generators

SYSTEMS

- LTS-21 closed cycle refrigerator systems
 - +.003 K to +0.5 K stability
 - <10 K to 300 K temperature range
 - State-of-the-art instrumentation
 - Complete accessories

In Europe: Cryophysics

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Berinsfield, England
(856) 340257 | Geneva, Switzerland
(22) 329520 |
| Darmstadt, W. Germany
(6151) 76051 | Versailles, France
(1) 9506578 |

In North America:
Leave it to . . .



**LAKE SHORE
CRYOTRONICS, INC.**

P.O. Box 29876 Columbus, Ohio 43229
(614) 846-1250 Telex: 24-5415 Cryotron Col

Circle No. 14 on Reader Service Card

Integrated AMPLIFIER/ DISCRIMINATOR Tube Socket Assembly

- TTL COMPATIBLE OUTPUT
- COMPATIBLE WITH ALL
PFR PMT CHAMBERS

- TWO VERSIONS:
ADS-001: 100 KHZ
max. count rate
ADS-120: 12 MHZ
max. count rate



ADS-001 is
compatible with
the Products for
Research Model
CT-100 Digital
Counter-Timer.

Call or write for complete
performance and price information



PRODUCTS FOR RESEARCH, INC.
78 HOLTON STREET
DANVERS, MA 01923 • (617) 774-3250

Circle No. 44 on Reader Service Card

PROFESSIONAL DISCOUNTS

Texas Instruments electronic calculator

TI-1750 LCD	\$19.35	SR-40	\$23.25
TI-950 II	23.25	SR-51 II	47.05
Little Prof.	12.55	TI-57 NEW	63.00
Dataman NEW	19.95	TI-58 NEW	95.79
TI-5100	38.75	TI-59 NEW	219.95
TI-5015 NEW	63.00	PC-100A	147.00
TI-9050 M	77.55	MRA	63.00
TI-5040 PD	95.00	Bus Analyst	26.95
TI-30 SP	16.98	TI Programmer NEW	49.95
Datalog	28.09	TI-1790 NEW	39.95

Ask for TI Digital Watches

HEWLETT PACKARD

HP-10 NEW	\$139.00	HP-27	\$140.00
HP-19C NEW	275.00	HP-29C NEW	156.00
HP-21	64.00	HP-80	235.00
HP-22	100.00	HP-67	360.00
HP-25	100.00	HP-91	260.00
HP-25C	128.00	HP-92 NEW	500.00
		HP-97	599.00

We're HP's authorized franchise dealer — all
accessories are professionally discounted.

Also SCM, Olivetti, Casio, Canon, APF, Sharp,
Craig, Sanyo, Record-A-Call, Norelco-Phillips
and more. All at great prices!

Norelco NT-1	\$164.00	Sanyo 9908	\$249.95
Norelco 186	245.00	Sanyo 8000A	199.95

**WE WILL BEAT OR MEET ANY COMPETITOR'S PRICE
IF HE HAS MERCHANDISE ON HAND.** All units shipped
in original factory cartons with accessories according to
manufacturers' specifications. In Calif. call (213) 370-
5795 or CALL (800) 421-0367 (other than CA). Above
prices are for cash only. BankAmericard/Visa & Master
Charge prices differ. Send money order. Pers. ck 12 wks
to clear. In CA add 6% sales tax. Add \$3.50 min. shipping
charges. WE SHIP AIR on request. Subject to availability.
Send mail orders to DEPT. EM-J.

WRITE OR CALL FOR FREE CATALOG.



WRITE OR CALL FOR FREE CATALOG
WILSHIRE — 2 STORES — HAWTHORNE
CALL

16611 HAWTHORNE BLVD^U LAWDALE CA 90260
(213) 370-5795 • (800) 421-0367

Circle No. 45 on Reader Service Card

letters

continued from page 15

Israeli attendance at this international meeting was prevented by the Soviet Union.

We suggest the following to our fellow physicists and to the officials of physics organizations:

International organizations should not sponsor meetings in the USSR without firm guarantees from the Soviet government that these meetings will be open to citizens of all nations without exception. Promises from Soviet organizing committees should not be accepted in lieu of official Soviet government commitments. Scientists should write to the officers of the physics organizations to which they belong, demanding that this principle be strictly adhered to.

Scientists should refuse to attend meetings in the USSR unless they are certain the Soviet government has, in fact, guaranteed that the meetings will be open to citizens of all nations without exception.

Letters should be written to the Soviet organizers of IMF-4 protesting the discrimination that was practiced towards Israeli scientists. The organizers were:

Chairman:
Professor G. Smolensky
A. F. Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute
Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Leningrad, 194021
USSR

Vice-Chairman:
Professors L. Shuvalov and I. Zheludev
Institute of Crystallography
Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Moscow, 117333
USSR

We believe that the holding of meetings without firm adherence to the above principles is totally incompatible with the international character of science and scientific research.

SIDNEY B. LANG
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Beersheva, Israel
SHLOMO HAVLIN
Bar-Ilan University
Ramat-Gan, Israel

11/21/77

Gamma detectors

The November issue (page 50) contains an article on germanium gamma-ray detectors by Richard Pehl, which I read with some interest. Since it was contained in an issue devoted to the progress in photon counting in general, I was somewhat taken aback by what I thought was an exceedingly glib treatment of other compound semiconductor gamma-ray detector materials (CdTe, HgI₂, GaAs) that have recently been worked on.

I don't think that a "considerable effort" has been expended on any one of them—at least not over any significant period of time. Whenever a considerable effort was supported for a short time (as for instance for CdTe from 1968 to 1972) considerable progress was indeed made, and HgI₂ has made great strides recently with only the most marginal support.

I assume it is not Pehl's intention though to disparage these efforts; but his way of presenting the facts might well lead to the conclusion that any support for the development of high-Z compound semiconductors is quite useless. I, as a materials scientist, do however not wish to let this impression stand; during my association with the detector field I unfortunately discovered that the people using the detectors had often very little appreciation for the very difficult basic work of the materials people or indeed the amount of sustained support needed for progress in such areas as synthesis, crystal growth, trap control and even contacting.

That lack of appreciation led to an expectation of fast progress in a short time at very low levels of financial support and ultimately to frustration and essential abandonment of practically all detector materials development by the AEC and subsequently ERDA, and thus made the self-fulfilling prophecy that Pehl repeats in his article inevitable.

F. V. WALD

Mobil Tyco Solar Energy Corporation
11/23/77 Waltham, Massachusetts

THE AUTHOR COMMENTS: I regret any impression produced by my paper that high-Z detector materials should not be supported. However, with the concurrence of the editor of PHYSICS TODAY, the main discussion in my paper was directed toward gamma-ray spectroscopy and the requirement for excellent energy resolution was implied. In my view, it is extremely unlikely that the compound semiconductors will ever satisfy this requirement. For those readers desiring a detailed explanation of why this situation prevails, I recommend the excellent paper by G. A. Armantrout, *et al.*¹

However, a significant relaxation of the energy resolution requirement may allow the use of high-Z detector materials, particularly if their band gap is large enough to permit room-temperature operation. This is especially true for lower photon energies. Many radiation monitoring applications may be satisfied by such materials.

Reference

1. G. A. Armantrout, S. P. Swierkowski, J. W. Sherohman, J. H. Yee, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-24, 121 (1977).

RICHARD PEHL
University of California
Berkeley, California □

12/21/77