

letters

continued from page 15

same time as the Third Reich, out of the discovery of the neutron." The early development of nuclear physics was brought about by three remarkable people: Rutherford and the two Curies. I have well known the next generation of French explorers, the Joliot-Curies and describe them at length. And I quote a pupil of Rutherford's, P.M.S. Blackett, himself a famous nuclear physicist, saying about Joliot that if it had not been for the Second World War "there can be no doubt that the first functioning nuclear reactor would have come into existence in France."

WALTER M. ELSASSER

Johns Hopkins University

8/23/78

Baltimore, Md.

Encouraging innovation

The excellent editorial on innovation by Jack Goldman of Xerox in August (page 88), prompts me to mention a technique used by Singer, Link Division (Binghamton, N.Y.) which I consider useful in encouraging their engineers and scientists to be more innovative. The following two paragraphs are from a letter of J. Rothenberg, their Director of Patents:

"Inventors Recognition Weekend—An annual function offered to employees to whom a patent issues in the previous year. These employees, and their spouses, are guests of the Division at a resort hotel where they participate in a special program to encourage further innovation. The program generally includes a speech by an authority in the field of inventions and/or patents, an informal brainstorming session, and an awards banquet. In addition, the Weekend provides a unique opportunity for creative employees from all operations of the Division to meet and interrelate and for the Division's management and Patent staff to establish stronger bonds with those engineers who have demonstrated an ability to successfully invent."

"The Division benefits from the Patent Awareness and Incentive Program by way of increased innovation, improved employee morale, prompt disclosure of new ideas and full cooperation with the Patent Department. Needless to say, invention and the protection of such invention is a critical factor in maintaining Singer's position of leadership in the highly competitive simulation business."

Prior speakers have included Jack Rabinow, and this year, because of the publication of my latest book, *The Creative Engineer* (Plenum) (see page 62 in August), I was asked to address the group and to participate in the brainstorming

session. The presence of wives is helpful because they encourage their husbands to invent again so as to be invited to another resort hotel weekend!


WINSTON E. KOCK

University of Cincinnati


9/5/78

PhD production cycle

In a recent book¹ R. B. Freeman shows that engineering freshman college enrollments went through two minima between the early 1950's and the early 1970's and predicts that another minimum will occur by the early to late 1980's (see figure 1). Of course, the reason for the oscillations is that the system has long response lag times to stimuli.



Freshman engineering enrollment from R. B. Freeman (ref. 1); dashed curve is projection by Freeman for years after 1973. Figure 1



Physics PhD degrees granted as function of academic year. Solid circles are AIP data (ref. 3), open circles are AIP projections, and squares are projections by Freeman (ref. 2). Figure 2

In physics our main concern with cycles of degree production is at the PhD level; we seem to have little difficulty in placing

our bachelor-degree and masters-degree graduates in meaningful employment. In a paper² Freeman claims that the number of physics PhD degrees has shown (see figure 1 of Freeman's paper) and will continue to show a cyclic behavior. Freeman's predictions for physics PhD degrees to be granted in 1975 and 1980 are shown in figure 2, along with AIP data and projections. It appears that, if Freeman's and AIP's projections are reasonably correct, the physics PhD production cycle will have a longer period than does the engineering freshman enrollment, which appears reasonable since the former system has longer response lag times than does the latter. Comparing figure 2 here with figure 1 in Freeman's paper, one arrives at a period of fifteen years or longer.


Note that Freeman's projection for physics PhD's to be granted in 1980 is about 20% lower than the AIP projection. I hope that physics manpower experts will study carefully the different projection methods used by AIP and by Freeman and analyze them for us in future issues of PHYSICS TODAY. Also, I would like to see initiation of and a striving to maintain a continuing dialog in PHYSICS TODAY concerning the following questions on this subject:

- ▶ Will physics PhD degrees again overshoot the demand in the next decade, given the system as it now exists?
- ▶ Are there any changes we can make in the system that will dampen the oscillations?
- ▶ Is it desirable to make such changes in the system?

References

1. R. B. Freeman, *The Overeducated American*, Academic, New York (1976).

The most advanced rare gas halide laser has been improved!



Ask anyone which is the best rare gas halide laser on the market today and you'll be asking about the new improved version of our TE-261 ... the TE 261-2!

We're not just talking about minor variations, but a major step forward in rare gas halide laser performance.

The TE 261-2 delivers 200 mj from both ArF and KrF with

good beam quality and has 5 Hz repetition rate capability. It also provides outstanding performance with a number of other gases.


Complete specs are available upon request. Write or call us today. The TE 261-2 is ready to deliver!

LUMONICS

LUMONICS RESEARCH LIMITED
105 Schneider Road, Kanata, Ottawa, Ontario,
Canada, K2K 1Y3 613-592-1460 Telex 0534503

Circle No. 41 on Reader Service Card

a versatile new CAMAC serial/parallel highway driver



2050

for use with
the PDP-11
computer line

Good news for all PDP-11® users! Our new 2050 provides an efficient interface between the PDP-11 Unibus and CAMAC Dataway via the two CAMAC highways. It is available as a parallel highway driver, a serial highway driver, or a combination of the two. Operating modes utilize the CAMAC Dataway's use of Q responses for block transfers and the ability of Unibus devices to access memory directly via nonprocessor requests (NPR). Various serial highway conditioning (U-port) options are also available.

FEATURES OF THE 2050

- resides in one PDP-11 system unit (BB11)
- controls up to seven parallel and/or 62 serial highway crates
- operates in bit or byte serial mode up to 5 MHz
- includes eight operating modes
 - one programmed transfer - five DMA/block transfers - two special parallel highway functions
- complete serial system error analysis and recovery procedure derived by methods outlined in the ESONE/SD/02 recommendations
- twelve registers occupying 16 word addresses on the Unibus formatted for easy use
- four independently enabled interrupt vectors
- full 18-bit Unibus memory addressing

A WEALTH OF APPLICATIONS

- distributed process control and data acquisition systems with the CAMAC serial highway
- local high-speed process control and data acquisition systems on the parallel highway
- distributed intelligence systems where the serial highway for host-to-remote or remote-to-host block transfer is under control of the host computer
- high-speed systems requiring minimum software overhead

® registered trademark of Digital Equipment Corporation

Please contact us for additional information

Kinetic Systems Corporation



Dept. PT128

11 Maryknoll Drive

Lockport, Illinois 60441

(815) 838 0005

6 Chemin de Tavernay

1218 Geneva, Switzerland

(022) 98 44 45

Circle No. 42 on Reader Service Card

2. R. B. Freeman, *Amer. Econ. Rev.* 65, 27 (1975).
3. American Institute of Physics publications R 151.12 and R 151.13.

L. DAVID ROPER
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Virginia

8/24/78

Pauling and Sakharov

A telephone call from a PHYSICS TODAY staff member, who asked me about a letter to me from Andrei Sakharov, has prompted me to write this statement.

I went to Moscow to participate in the International Conference on Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, sponsored by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, the International Union of Biochemistry and the USSR Academy of Sciences, and to receive the Lomonosov Medal. In Moscow I was approached by a man who spoke with a pronounced Central European accent. He gave me a letter, which he said was from Sakharov, and told me I should have it translated by some reliable person. Before I could find a reliable person I was informed by several reporters, including ABC television, that Sakharov had released the text of the letter, and that in it he had asked me to make a statement in defense of three Soviet scientists who had been sent to prison. I replied that I had signed statements and had written letters about scientists and other people whose rights have been reported to have been violated by the USSR government and other governments, although I could not remember with confidence whether or not I had taken action about these three men. I added that all governments are immoral, and cited the example of the United States government, which in 1952 refused me a passport and thus prevented me from participating in the two-day symposium in London on the structure of proteins that had been organized by the Royal Society; I was scheduled to give the opening address, and most of the papers dealt with the discoveries made by my coworkers and me.

I do not know whether my statements were published or broadcast. On my return to California, however, I found that my local paper, the *Palo Alto Times*, had on the same day as my interviews, 25 September 1978, published an article about Sakharov's letter to me, including the sentences "He urged the US chemist to come out in defense of three Soviet scientists—physicist Yuri Orlov, mathematician Alexander Bolonkin, and biologist Sergei Koralev—who have been sent to prison for dissident activities. Pauling did not make the statement requested by Sakharov, and he could not be reached for comment on Sakharov's appeal."

I consider it improper for Sakharov to have given his letter to the press before I

could get it translated by a "reliable person" and could take action on it. I think that this episode was planned to take place the way it did. I admire Sakharov, and I shall continue to support him. I feel, however, that in the future he should be more careful in his selection of advisors and agents.

LINUS PAULING
Linus Pauling Institute of
Science and Medicine
Menlo Park, California

10/23/78

More on Velikovsky

In his "review" of *Scientists Confront Velikovsky* (August page 56), George Abell repeats propaganda that has often been refuted. His not realizing this could have been caused by his lack of ability to research the subject he so vehemently opposes, or by his lack of interest in obtaining the facts. The latter is probably the case, because Abell appears to believe that he already knows the "truth" about the recent history of the solar system.

It seems to make Abell and others of Velikovsky's opponents feel better about their irrationality if they convince themselves that only functional psychos would consider Velikovsky's work seriously. I have a PhD in physics and am a member of APS. I have given many talks about Velikovsky's ideas to sections of the AIAA, IEEE, SPE, MENSA, and physics departments of universities. The talks were well received, and the audience realized that Velikovsky's ideas have considerably more support than one would conclude from reading the "acceptable" journals. The range of PhD physicists actively investigating Velikovsky's work includes experts in celestial mechanics, spectroscopy, thermodynamics and plasma physics. Numerous scientists trained in fields other than physics are also investigating the ideas. Therefore, the only way Abell and others can claim that scientists consider the theory "absurd" is to define "scientist" as a person who agrees with their outdated ideas.

It is surprising that Abell only picked on Derrall Mulholland and did not mention any of the mistakes made by Sagan. His paper was so replete with distortions, misrepresentations, inaccuracies and illogical statements that surely a person with Abell's training should easily see them. In fact, Sagan's paper contained so many errors that one must conclude that either he is extremely stupid (which he obviously is not), exceedingly careless (your guess) or fraudulent. The fact that Sagan proved incompetent at refuting Velikovsky does not, of course, make Velikovsky's theory correct, but since Sagan's demonstrably false article is considered the best refutation, it does make it obvious that the subject should not be closed.

Those interested in the details about

the errors found in *Scientists Confront Velikovsky* should read *Velikovsky and Establishment Science* and *The Age of Velikovsky* (Kronos Press, Glassboro State College, Glassboro, N.J.: *The Age of Velikovsky* paperback is by Delta). The latter book also reviews the theory, some of the scientific support for it and the attempted suppression of the ideas.

It is ironic that the issue of PHYSICS TODAY with Abell's review also contained letters about continuing concern for oppressed scientists in other countries. Beginning with the origin of this concern, the freedom of dissemination of ideas has been one of the major issues. Yet, in 1971, PHYSICS TODAY wrote me that Velikovsky's ideas need no longer be discussed in that periodical. Just this year, *Science News* canceled continuing ads for *Velikovsky and Establishment Science* and *The Age of Velikovsky*. *Science News* said that they would no longer run ads on "that subject." However, the following week *Science News* ran an ad for *Scientists Confront Velikovsky*. What both periodicals obviously meant to say was that discussion of only one side of the issue would be allowed. The Soviets claim suppression of ideas protects the public from confusion. From the actions of some scientists here, one could easily conclude that they believe in the selective use of "protective" measures.

C. J. RANSOM
Cosmos & Chronos, Inc.
Fort Worth, Texas

10/2/78

THE AUTHOR COMMENTS: Since writing my review I have learned that the Velikovsky cult does count among its members a small handful of people with scientific credentials. Perhaps this is not surprising, considering the tens and thousands of men and women with scientific training. I understand that there are also physicians who still practice medical astrology in making diagnoses. At least it is a nearly unanimous view of physicists and astronomers that Velikovsky's ideas are not tenable in light of modern knowledge.

There is no scientific conspiracy against Velikovsky. Scientists do not generally count themselves as his enemies or "opponents;" most simply do not have time to become involved in a futile debate with committed believers, and are more likely to regard the whole matter with mild amusement. Scientific journals have not accepted papers by Velikovsky, if he has ever submitted any, because his astronomical ideas are not carefully developed scientific theories but vague speculations without quantitative justification. On the other hand, I would strongly oppose actual suppression of any views, including Velikovsky's, so long as they were not misrepresented as being those of the scientific community. But one can hardly make a case that Velikovsky has been