

our bureaucracy and in our education system; but, gentlemen, that Japanese torpedo did run level. Surely we have people capable of smoothing out this lurching, broaching torpedo run on which we are being taken.

HAROLD P. HANSON
University of Florida

One way to reduce the present employment crisis is for the appropriate government agencies (NSF or HEW) to allocate a small amount of money for programs to train present PhD graduates or graduates-to-be to take jobs in other fields. The money would pay their tuition for supplemental courses in fields such as business administration, management, medical science and so on, and in the case of PhD graduates to provide fellowships for such training.

If we assume that one quarter of the total graduates need tuition assistance and one quarter of these need fellowships, the total amount required is about $(400 \times \$1000) + (100 \times \$8000) = \$1.2$ million per year. This would be well spent if we consider the time and money already invested in these young talents.

S. J. TAO
The New England Institute
Ridgefield, Conn.

Job-hunting experiences

It has been amusing to read the recent letters in PHYSICS TODAY concerning the employment situation for young (and old) physicists. And I would probably be chuckling if it weren't for my sense of rage about the attitudes contained in them.

For instance, it would no doubt be valuable for graduate schools to gear their production of physicists to the market (James D. Kerwin's letter, November, page 9), if only we knew what the market was going to be like four years hence. Or we could be righteously indignant (along with R. C. Hansen, February, page 11) about all these young fellows, with their narrow disciplinary training, sitting on their butts waiting for job offers to arrive in the mail. If only that were true so that our indignation could be justified. And of course it was nice to reminisce (with Harold A. Zahl, April, page 11) about the hard times with happy endings in the good old days.

PHYSICS TODAY TO DROP MEETINGS DEPARTMENT

Beginning with this issue PHYSICS TODAY will no longer publish a meetings-report department. The amount of space that we can make available to this kind of department is no longer adequate to do justice to the already large and still increasing number of physics meetings being held each year. In future issues we plan to include the significant developments presented at meetings in our staff-written news columns. Verbatim accounts of selected physics meetings will soon become available as part of the AIP Conference Proceedings Series, which the American Institute of Physics has recently announced it will begin to publish (see May, page 62). —The Editor

I have working for me this year a postdoctoral fellow who decided for family and financial reasons to do battle with the job market. I think that a careful accounting of our efforts will reveal that even if the job market isn't disastrous, it is tough and discouraging. Whether the job market is disastrous or not can not be determined from an isolated example, such as this one, but from figures such as those in the April issue of PHYSICS TODAY (page 23). The circumstances I describe here contradict most of the assumptions and conclusions contained in recent letters. The job applicant was young, intelligent, willing to look for a job, willing to leave his narrow discipline, and do anything, except intellectually perjure himself, to get a job. Between mid-December, 1969, and mid-March, 1970, a total of 139 prospective employers were contacted by first-class mail with individually written and addressed letters (see Edward P. Clancy's letter, April, page 15) with a breakdown and response as follows:

Small Colleges (up to 2500 students)—35 written, no jobs at 29, no response from 4, job filled at 1, 1 interview, no offers.

Medium Universities (up to 8000 students)—48 written, no jobs at 30, wrong category of research interest at 5, jobs filled at 5, no response from 3, under consideration at 3, interviews at 2, 2 job offers.

Large Universities (larger than 8000 students)—18 written, no jobs at 11, wrong category at 5, no response from 1, 1 was confused by

CRYOGENIC Temperature Controller



Model 5301

Accurate temperature control in Cryogenic Research Dewars for physics, biomedics, chemistry and metallurgy and other scientific fields where the process and the control requirements change frequently.

Featuring

- Wide temperature range: From below 0.3°K to 320°K.
- Temperature control to .01°C and better
- Sensor power dissipation less than one microwatt.
- High temperature set resolution, .02 to .1 deg./dial div. typically.
- For sensors with positive and/or negative temperature coefficients.
- AC bridge input isolated, up to 500 Volts above ground sensor operation.
- 100 Watts power output, short circuit proof
- DC output for min. interference to low level instrumentation.
- Three mode control: Proportional, rate and reset
- Control response adjustable to the requirements of the process.
- Solid state, human engineered min. manipulation of controls.

Wide range of Platinum and Germanium sensors available.

artronix

INSTRUMENTATION

716 HANLEY INDUSTRIAL CT., ST. LOUIS, MO. 63144
AREA CODE 314 PHONE: 644-2456