ference between neutron and proton number increases, C becomes smaller and in at least one nucleus, Fm254, which can fission spontaneously, C ap-

pears to be negative.

Now Goldhaber and Goldhaber have extended the model to negative values of Io, permitting description of nuclei with only two or four nucleons beyond a closed shell. As in the earlier work, they group nuclei according to the ratio of the energy of the 4+ level (E_4) to the energy of the 2+ level (E_2) . The extension applies to the range 2.23 ≥ $E_4/E_2 \ge 1.82$.

The authors assert that there is a natural physical interpretation of the model when I_0 becomes negative. Now the ground-state moment of inertia is zero; that is, the ground-state shape is spherical. The larger the negative value of Io, the more firmly the shell structure resists departure from spherical symmetry, becoming more rigid.

At $E_4/E_2 = 1.82$, the nucleus reaches a state of complete rigidity. As E_4/E_2 decreases still further, which happens for singly or doubly magic nuclei, the nucleus becomes brittle; that is, the ground state is so symmetrical that to reach the 2+ state, the nuclear matter has to be rearranged; for example, an alpha particle can be promoted from the core to a higher orbit.

Such a change from the ground state to a higher I resembles a first-order phase transition, such as the transition from superconducting to normal. The magnetic field corresponds to J, and the temperature to the number of nucleon pairs beyond a closed shell. The "critical temperature" is reached at $E_4/E_2 =$

At $E_4/E_2 = 2.23$, the function $I_{J=0}(I_0)$ has a discontinuous first derivative. The Goldhabers compare this situation to that of a Curie point, below which an increasing internal magnetic field (so-called "Weiss field") acts, analogous

to the increasing rigidity found for blance t nuclei.

(The Goldhabers note that it is possible to consider nuclear phase transitions as examples of many-body behavior in a remarkably small number of bodies, 100 or 200 nucleons. Manybody theory has been applied to the theory of nuclear matter, by Keith Brueckner, Hans Bethe and others, assuming an infinite number of nucleons and then calculating the average binding energy per nucleon in the nucleus. To make the theory more realistic one then tries to include the effect of the nuclear surface.)

The Goldhabers hope that their analysis will help in the effort to build a true microscopic theory of nuclei. -GBL

References

- 1. G. Scharff-Goldhaber, A. S. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 1349 (1970)
- M. A. J. Mariscotti, G. Scharff-Gold-haber, B. Buck, Phys. Rev. 178, 1864 (1969).

Element 105: Flerov reiterates Dubna priority claim

The priority dispute over who first made element 105 continues. Georgi N. Flerov, director of the laboratory of nuclear reactions at Dubna, has pointed out to PHYSICS TODAY that results of his new experiment on element 105 appeared in February in a Dubna publication. He noted that his publication preceded both the announcement made by Albert Ghiorso and his collaborators and a statement issued by the AEC on 28 April that the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory group had discovered element 105 and was naming it "hahnium." Chiorso had told a press conference at the April APS meeting that he believed Flerov's first experiment on element 105 (PHYSICS TODAY, December 1967, page 61) was wrong but not necessarily the second experiment. He remarked that because the Soviet group did not propose a name they apparently did not feel their evidence was very strong.

Commenting on Ghiorso's statement, Flerov said, "Up to the time of the American authors first publication we had already studied all the types of decay of the new element and determined its chemical properties , . . we are fully confident in the authenticity of our data, and for proving the discovery of the new element we shall take advantage of scientific arguments. Therefore, stating that we were not sure of our results since we had not named the new element right away should be considered as the personal opinion of Ghiorso . . . Naturally, there is no accounting for tastes. But unfortunately, there are examples in the history of synthesizing new elements when haste in the announcement of a discovery and naming a new element has led to a situation when a little after the sensation only the name was left, but the nature of it was radically revised (recall even the history of element 102)."

In the 1967 Dubna experiment, Fleroy and his collaborators had bombarded Am243 with Ne22 and observed two alpha emitters (with half lives between 0.1 sec and 3 sec) with alpha energies of about 9.4 and 9.7 MeV. The data suggested formation of 105X260 and $_{105}X^{261}$

The Berkeley experiment, done by Ghiorso, Matti Nurmia, Kari and Pirkko Eskola and James Harris, also used alpha-decay chains to infer the formation of element 105 (PHYSICS TO-DAY, July, page 58). They bombarded Cf249 with N15 and found an alpha emitter with 1.6-sec half life and 9.1-MeV decay energy. This alpha group preceded a subsequent alpha decay which they identified as belonging to 103X256, thus providing quite strong evidence that the 1.6-sec activity belonged to 105.

Because LRL and Dubna have long been the only two labs that had the targets and beams to make new heavy elements there has been a continuing set of priority disputes between the two groups. In the past both groups had used a sequence of alpha-decay energy and lifetime measurements to infer production of new elements. But the new Dubna experiment studies instead the spontaneous-fission decay properties.

Flerov bombards Am243 with Ne22 and observes a spontaneously fissioning isotope with a halflife of 1.8 ± 0.6 sec. The yield corresponds to a formation cross section of $(5.0 \pm 1.5) \times$

10-34 cm2.

By comparing the angular distribution of recoil atoms with typical curves for compound-nucleus reactions and multinucleon transfer reactions, he concludes that the spontaneously fissioning isotope was formed by a compound nu-Then Flerov shows that the cross section for formation as a function of excitation energy of the compound system is characteristic of a reaction in which four neutrons are emitted, rather than say three neutrons and a proton or five neutrons. He then concludes that he has formed element 105 in the compound state, that only four neutrons are emitted, and that the mass is 261.

At the present time there is no conflict (except for priority) in that Flerov claims he found 105X261 and Ghiorso that he found 105X260.

Expand exploration of earth and ocean in 1970's

As an extension of the geodetic satellite program, an Earth and ocean program should be established over the next decade, says a NASA panel, headed by William Kaula (UCLA). In its report, Solid-Earth and Ocean Physics, the group outlined a program that would cost \$10 million in the first few years, about \$50 million in the middle of the decade and possibly double that figure. Goals would include:

Identify the driving forces that account for motions, earthquakes and variations of the gravitational field.

Study general ocean circulation at all depths.

ets and hea

ctify the i

omplex med

of the Ear

to unders

vafloor spre

okhaven ookhaven a inducing 30 e resolution

w High Model M = accelerato stely to prod iduc€ 30. d 3 micros

the six other whaven is icelerators in inter in de Graa MeV mode

with the er otrons, for a molution, ⇒ll beam di make it id. The double thighly n

ad will be dany eleme d Emperor = pressure Heet in dia Topheres w

of nitroge We visited Harvey su project ad constru

the facili Tryer) res is originally The Yell Mer decided the other

temain d Emperor & terminal i

geration, th the ions fro dracted to a repelled ing a total

hage opera a source by B ™ negativ

to 10 Me Emperor, the ne Positive

1 20