chanics and the theory of special relativity as unifying principles. Within this constraint, he has done quite well.

In two introductory chapters he reviews prerequisite material in complexfunction theory, the relevant phenomenology and the mathematical physics of relativistic and nonrelativistic scattering processes. The main section is devoted to a range of standard topics from pionpion scattering to production-process models. The various topics are treated by first setting the applicable mathematical formalism and then discussing the physical aspects. There are useful discussions of the disagreements with experimental data, and adequate bibliographic selections are conveniently located. The treatment, though compact, is adequate.

The book is somewhat comparable in intent to A. O. Barut's book, The Theory of the Scattering Matrix (Macmillan, New York, 1967). Barut gives a more comprehensive picture of the formal mathematical apparatus; Burkhardt emphasizes and attempts to provide a unified view of the essentially heuristic character of the present state of the art.

A. L. HARVEY Physics Chairman Queens College

Mechanics

By Ray Skinner 744 pp. Blaisdell, Waltham, 1969. \$14.50

This book is one of five written by Ray Skinner for a four-semester course for science and engineering students. It is comparable to the Mechanics volume of the Berkeley series in the average level of sophistication, but it is far more detailed and starts at a somewhat more elementary level.

I feel very strongly, as Kenneth Ford does (PHYSICS TODAY, January, page 94), that "no textbook can be properly reviewed until it has been used." The following comments are therefore given with some feeling of hesitancy: All one can do is rely on past experience in using other standard books.

I think that the book contains too much material. Its 744 pages would create an impossibly heavy burden in a one-semester course. True, there is much material that would generally be covered in "Intermediate Mechanics," and this material could be omitted (there are a couple of impressive flow charts to permit this) but then I question whether the advanced material should have been included. I think that it would tend to frighten and confuse a sizable fraction of the students. It is not sufficient for an instructor to say "We will omit sections X, Y, Z," if

these sections make up one-third of the

I question also the need for many of the exhaustive details. These struck me most forcibly: the pronunciation of Greek letters, the prefix "atto" (good heavens, another prefix; this one means 10-18 something, but, as far as I can tell, it is not used), the unit "Franklin" (Franklin? It is $3.36 \times 10^{-10} C$, but who uses it?) and the constant "Dirac" (ħ in MKS units). This is surely a matter of judgment, but physics has enough complex notations so that one should not stick freshmen with more than is absolutely necessary. And the same goes for units: Although MKS is emphasized, other units, "slugs" included, are used also and certain problems (such as A1.1) require filling in the spaces, to four significant figures, in tables showing the relationships be-tween various units. This sort of thing drives the good students up the wall and into the social sciences and takes too much of the time of the poor ones.

There are many problems and worked examples in each of the chapters, but having most of the problems, as well as the examples, as a part of the running text is distracting. I prefer the conventional approach where the problems all appear at the end of a chapter.

At the same time there are some very good aspects of Skinner's book: the discussions of nongravitational forces, of masses and of motions under central forces appear particularly interesting and clear.

Skinner has painstakingly and carefully written a very complete book that brings in both the mathematical and physical concepts needed for a thorough elementary to intermediate understanding of mechanics. The presentation and the exhaustive coverage lead me, for pedagogical reasons, to recommend it for the faculty and for the library reserve shelf of a tough first-year course rather than for its students.

FAY AJZENBERG-SELOVE University of Pennsylvania

Elementary Wave Optics

By Robert H. Webb 268 pp. Academic, New York 1969. \$11.50

Based on a course taught by the author, Robert H. Webb, this new textbook presents the standard topics of classical physical optics and optical instruments, and introduces the student to modern coherent optics and the mathematical ideas of quantum mechanics. The exposition is unusual in that wave theory is covered in general, in addition to the specialized treatment of optical phenomena.

As expected in a good textbook.

many problems of varying difficulty are office included with each chapter, and the well given solutions extend the material in Ladia the text. Detailed solutions to selected and problems comprise 20% of the book, not for The exposition is clear and well illus- lingua trated, and the usual brief bibliography what it and subject index are included.

The text begins with a summary of whit? geometrical optics. A general discussion of wave theory is next, and is followed by treatments of the expected white topics of superposition, electromagnetic waves, scattering, polarization, interference and diffraction. The final chapter in the p introduces coherent optics, and various mathematical derivations are relegated MIT to appendixes. Not too large, this book contains sufficient material for a one-semester, intermediate, undergraduate course in optics. It is an attractive additional choice for those instructors teaching such a course who continually me Kan search new book lists for a suitable text-

RICHARD B. ZIPIN NOT The Bendix Corp I Univ. of

s Kant (1

simown as

of his

35 he pul

= Natu

Crystals and Their Structures

By Arthur P. Cracknell Pergamon, Oxford, 1969. Exercical Cloth \$7.00, paper \$5.50

In the preface to his book, Arthur P. de Himmel Cracknell notes the ever expanding and und frontiers of knowledge and the consequent changes in courses of instruction struction at all educational levels. In the belief that the study of crystal structures and was non some aspects of solid-state physics the first s should be in the curriculum for sixth to formers (roughly, equivalent to high- tem school seniors in the US), Cracknell has The Ka written a book that is intended for use and with as "... general background reading for the "n parts of both physics and chemistry places courses. . . . " Unfortunately I do not the sola believe the book measures up to the au- may no thor's aspirations.

In about 200 pages the subject matter ranges over the crystallographic le News point groups; Bravais lattices; space inverse groups; diffraction of x rays, electrons lower 1 and neutrons; forces in crystals; wave were so mechanics; metals, semiconductors and and get insulators; crystal defects; color symmetry, and group theory. So many topics of the hi are covered in a superficial way that the result is often misleading or confusing in English -when it is not simply incorrect. ired immed

I do not intend to document this west in the harsh judgment page by page but will a strong merely cite a couple of irritating examples from chapter 3, "The Internal Million K Structure of Crystals," that are typical of two of every chapter. On page 85 it is as- 19 page e serted that only Bragg planes with low the eart Miller indices may be densely populated its a ed with atoms. Nonsense! Cited as a highed in reference for this chapter is Theory of and a

62