

Complete Nuclear Physics Teaching Laboratory

At last! An accelerator-based teaching system for less than \$50,000. A lot less if you already have some of the electronics.

By system, we mean first, the equipment: a 400 KeV Van de Graaff accelerator, vacuum equipment, magnet, scattering chamber, detectors, radioactive sources, support electronics, pulse height analyzer, and radiation monitor.

Second, our teaching manual: 30 graded experiments in nuclear physics, explained step by step, enough to fill a 3-semester laboratory course. By then the student will have performed the fundamental experiments of nuclear physics and encountered a great deal of quantum mechanics, atomic physics, and solid state physics.

Research? Yes. In nuclear physics, solid state physics, atomic physics, and activation analysis. The magnet provides for additional research stations where your staff and graduate students can do original work.

It's everything a teaching/research system should be: simple to operate, virtually maintenance-free, easily modified for different experiments, low initial cost, expandable with optional equipment.

Our booklet, "The Van de Graaff Nuclear Physics Teaching Laboratory," shows just how this equipment and course book combine theory and practice in the modern physics curriculum. We'll be glad to send it to you.

HIGH VOLTAGE ENGINEERING
Burlington, Massachusetts

Name _____

Position _____

Organization _____

Address _____

Zip _____

Romance in six figures

Re: "Who said it first?" (PHYSICS TODAY, April, page 56, August, page 9).

Almost two decades ago Karl K. Darrow raised the question, "Who first spoke of the importance of the next place of decimals in physical measurements?" (*Isis* 41, 201, 1950). Darrow pointed out that although it was Albert A. Michelson who made the idea popular, Michelson himself attributed it to an "eminent physicist," and James Clerk Maxwell had already tried to refute it as early as 1871.

The note on page 56 of the April PHYSICS TODAY and the letters from Charles Weiner, Robert C. Wykoff and George L. Trigg in the August issue provide further interesting evidence on this subject but indicate that the origin of the phrase is still a mystery. There is another question, however, just as important as "Who said it first?"—namely, why would anyone think it was a valid description of the state of physics about 1894? Was Michelson so absorbed in his own experiments that he was oblivious to the violent controversies raging among theorists at the time? He certainly helped to establish the myth of a Victorian calm in physics—with nothing left to be done but make more precise measurements—preceding the 20th-century storm. It is still customary for authors of texts and popular expositions of modern physics to assert that physicists at the end of the 19th century were confident that all the fundamental laws of physics were known so that the only further progress to be expected was in refining the determination of the physical constants.

Now it does not necessarily follow from Michelson's statement that there would not be any more fundamental discoveries. In fact it could be argued that ultrarefined measurement does often lead to such discoveries; see, for example, Floyd K. Richtmyer's essay on "The Romance of the Next Decimal Place" in *Science* 75, 1 (1932). Nevertheless the "next-decimal-place" phrase is usually associated with the more pessimistic (or complacent) implication.

I can contribute one more item, a report on Michelson's 1894 speech,

that suggests his ambivalent attitude toward the myth he was propagating:

"He gave the address on the place of very refined measurement in the progress of physics, an address in which he quoted someone else, I think it was Kelvin, as saying that it was probable that the great discoveries in physics had all been made and that future progress was likely to be found in the sixth place of decimals. Later, in conversation with me, he was to upbraid himself roundly for this remark." (*The Autobiography of Robert A. Millikan*, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1950).

STEPHEN G. BRUSH
University of Maryland

When Congress does not know

May I comment on your November editorial titled "In Politics, How Should We Do Our Thing?"

I would like to emphasize the following point: The problem is not that Congress does not have ready access to good scientific information and advice; such aid is readily available—and from many different types of groups—when Congress recognizes the need and itself provides the impetus for acquiring the necessary information. The problem occurs when Congress does not recognize what its needs are or what information is available but others, including scientific societies, do. My plea is that in the latter circumstance, the societies and members of them not be reticent in notifying appropriate members of Congress of their views.

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO
Chairman, Subcommittee on Science,
Research and Development;
Committee on Science and
Astronautics;
US House of Representatives

No new first-rate insights

Are you interested in a nonphysicist's nasty first reaction to Lewis M. Branscomb's August article, "Physics and the Nation in a Crystal Ball," particularly to the paragraph on pages 24 and 25?

It is very hard for a still beautiful woman to discover that she is begin-

How often have you needed these precision waveform generators...




Delay & Gate Generator

Model CT-2. Stable delay and gate to 0.1 sec. Input discriminator from 0.1 to 11V. Price \$520.




Ramp Generator

Model LG-1. Differential linearity better than 0.1%. Compatible with the Model BH-1 to provide a sliding pulse train. Price \$420.



Tail Pulse Generator

Model BH-1. Rise time 20 nsec to 50 μ sec. Fall time 50 nsec to 1000 μ sec. Repetition rate to 1 MHz. Integral linearity better than 0.1%. Price \$490.



Portanim
Model AP-1. Accepts up to four single-width NIM modules. Power supply ± 12 V and ± 24 V or ± 12 V and ± 6 V. Price \$360.



...and a portable carrying case for NIM modules?

Berkeley Nucleonics provides you with a broad range of precision waveform generators in NIM modules for testing integral and differential linearity, resolution, and stability. And the convenience of a lightweight (10 lbs.) portable carrying case with power supply. All at new **low prices**. Write or call for complete technical data.



Berkeley Nucleonics Corp.
1198 Tenth Street,
Berkeley, California 94710
Phone (415) 527-1121

ning to attract fewer admirers—even if she spends more on cosmetics. Isn't that what's happening to physics? In the self-imposed scale of values of physics, which puts fundamental new insights first and downgrades discoveries that are explainable in terms of known principles, no first-rate exciting advances (comparable to electromagnetism, relativity or quantum physics) have been made for 30 years, and the glamor is wearing off.

Maybe it is quite healthy under the circumstances if only true lovers choose physics; maybe physicists should also realize that nowadays many nonphysicists seem to admire physics primarily as a source of superb experimental technology and no more as a source of deep insights.

PETER J. HUBER

Zürich-Gockhausen, Switzerland

Spectral lines from pulsars

In your August article on pulsars a suggestion is made that there are "lines" in the radio spectra of pulsars (box on page 46). The raw observation is that the instantaneous bandwidth of individual pulses or groups of pulses is quite narrow—100 kHz or less at a frequency of 80 MHz. At no time at the Trieste symposium was it suggested that these data indicated "lines" in the usual spectroscopic sense. Judging from my correspondence, however, a strict interpretation has been placed on "lines" by a number of your readers. Whether this fine structure in the spectrum is inherent in the source—or is imposed by the intervening medium—we do not know. There is no suggestion that the pulsars are emitting at specific radio frequencies.

JOHN G. BOLTON

CSIRO, Radiophysics Laboratory,
Australia

FROM THE AUTHORS: We regret our choice of the word "lines" in the brief discussion of results reported by Bolton at Trieste. A preliminary text of the "Pulsars" article was circulated to many of our colleagues for comments, but it did not include the box on "Latest Pulsar News," which referred to the spectral "lines."

STEPHEN P. MARAN


Kitt Peak National Observatory

A. G. W. CAMERON

Yeshiva University □

The JANIS He³ Refrigerator

is a reliable "package" which is both compact and simplified in technical design. It can save a lot of do-it-yourself time.



An informative brochure gives you the facts. Ask for it. Meanwhile, to whet your appetite, here are some excerpts:

- *top access* to the chamber permits total immersion of sample in HE 3 vapor.
- *refrigeration range* 0.35° and below through 1.0°K and above.
- *18+ hours* running time.

THE GENERATION GAP is being closed. We have extremely low temperature dilution re-

frigeration in test stages. While not proved in use like the JANIS He 3, we are confident and willing to talk about it.

Janis

Bulletin R868 describes the JANIS He 3 Refrigerator.

JANIS RESEARCH CO., INC.

24 Spencer St., Stoneham, Mass. 02180

Janis — Innovation in cryogenics Telephone (617) 438-3220