



LETTERS

to the EDITOR

Sirs:

The article in the December Physics Today on Science and Reparations by Mr. J. C. C. Edelstein contains many enlightening facts. The problem of how to treat German scientists—whether to forget the past or whether to punish them for it—is full of thorny moral issues. How much were the German scientists to blame for the crimes of Nazism? Were they unwilling tools, or active opponents, or willing collaborators? Or did they simply not care?

It appears that there is a general answer to these questions. They certainly were not active opponents, nor do most of them now have the honesty to admit having been Nazis. German scientists, owing to their privileged position in the Reich (where they had for 100 years been granted far more honors, recognition, and influence than is usual in other countries) cannot plead to having been mere tools of the Nazis. Many plead that they did not actively further Nazi practice but this does not absolve them from the charge of gross moral obtuseness. In fact, the bulk of German scientists had little difficulty in adapting themselves to the Nazi creed, and some even managed to become authorities on Nazi folklore turned into a science. Heisenberg's account of the German atomic project has been characterized by S. Goudsmit in his report on the Alsos Mission as disingenuous at the very least. Mr. Edelstein admits that some German scientists of questionable background were put through denazification procedures and cleared in order to be made available for work in the U.S. He makes the curious point that this was not worth while in many cases because these were inferior scientists. There is no question of facing the principles involved. In the case of first rate scientists questions of moral stature become secondary. It is clear that this is basically an amoral position. Incidentally it reduces to a farce the so-called denazification courts.

The fact that some German scientists did oppose Hitlerism and lost their livelihoods and sometimes their very lives only underlines the failure of the majority to oppose fascism. German scientists were particularly to blame for the looting of scientific institutions and the destruction of centres of learning and culture in the conquered countries, not to mention the scientific experiments in the extermination camps. Some of Hitler's henchmen who devised the V2 which terrorized London have been brought to this continent to teach us the ways of science!

Where expediency is the overriding factor, cynicism thrives; the time may come when this expediency, having played its part in destroying the moral fibre of our society, will turn out to have been most inexpedient.

PAUL M. PFALZNER

National Research Council of Canada
Ottawa

The SCIENCE and ENGINEERING of Nuclear Power VOLUME II

Edited by CLARK GOODMAN
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

An extension of the basic theoretical treatment in Volume I, plus consideration of practical industrial applications.

NEW UP-TO-DATE SEGRÉ ISOTOPE CHART INCLUDED

TABLE OF CONTENTS—VOLUME II

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. SOURCE OF MATERIALS FOR NUCLEAR POWER
by Roland D. Parks | 9. STATISTICAL ASPECTS OF PILE THEORY
by Frederic de Hoffmann |
| 2. ISOTOPE SEPARATION
by Karl Cohen | 10. HEAT REMOVAL FROM NUCLEAR REACTORS
by E. R. Gilliland, D. Bareis, and G. Feick |
| 3. VACUUM TECHNIQUES IN NUCLEAR ENGINEERING
by Fontaine C. Armistead | 11. ROCKETS AND OTHER THERMAL JETS USING NUCLEAR ENERGY
by Hsue-Shen Tsien |
| 4. THE THEORETICAL BASIS OF SOME MEASUREMENTS USEFUL IN PILE DESIGN
by F. L. Friedman | 12. THE SHIELDING OF NUCLEAR REACTORS
by M. L. Goldberger |
| 5. RESEARCH IN NEUTRON PHYSICS AT CHALK RIVER
by B. W. Sargent | 13. EFFECTS OF RADIATION ON MATERIALS
by A. O. Allen |
| 6. CALCULATION OF NEUTRON DISTRIBUTION IN HETEROGENEOUS PILES
by A. M. Weinberg | 14. PRODUCTION OF RADIONUCLIDES
by John W. Irvine, Jr. |
| 7. THE CONCEPT OF ALBEDO IN ELEMENTARY DIFFUSION THEORY
by G. Placzek | 15. PILE MATERIALS
by A. R. Kaufmann |
| 8. PILE KINETICS
by Harry Soodak | 16. HEALTH PHYSICS: INSTRUMENTATION AND HAZARD EVALUATION
by R. D. Evans |
| | 17. FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN NUCLEAR ENERGY
by Clark Goodman |

VOLUME II Approx. 325 pages $7\frac{1}{4}" \times 10\frac{1}{2}"$
Cloth bound \$7.50

ADDISON-WESLEY PRESS INC.
KENDALL SQ., CAMBRIDGE 42, MASS., U.S.A.

This modern

SOUND-LEVEL METER

GIVES UNEQUALLED SPEED, ACCURACY, and CONVENIENCE



The H. H. Scott sound-level meter is the only truly modern sound-level meter now available. Self contained, the instrument is unsurpassed for speed, accuracy, and convenience. Held and operated in one hand, it is merely pointed at the noise source and an accurate reading taken from the meter.

Provision is made for using the microphone on an extension cable or for using other microphones, vibration pickups, etc.

SPECIFICATIONS

- ★ Accuracy: meets ASA standards.
- ★ Range: 34 to 140 db above ASA reference level. All standard ASA curves for weighing are provided: flat, 70db, and 40db.
- ★ Microphone: humidity-sealed crystal, diaphragm-type.
- ★ Meter: two-speed type provides either standard ASA ballistics or heavy damping.
- ★ Calibration: simple adjustment resets amplifier gain to original factory-calibrated value.
- ★ Size: $11\frac{1}{4}$ inches long — $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter.
- ★ Weight: 2 pounds, 7 ounces.

Net price \$249.00 F.O.B. Cambridge, Mass.
Order direct from our instrument division, Dept. 902PT4

HERMON HOSMER SCOTT, INC.



"PACKAGED ENGINEERING"

385 PUTNAM AVE. • CAMBRIDGE 39, MASS.