

## LETTERS

## to the EDITOR

Registration Fee

Sir:

At the close of the Seattle meeting of the American Physical Society Dr. Darrow discussed the financial plight of the Society. Earlier this year it had been proposed that the abstracts of papers given at meetings no longer be printed in the Physical Review, a step aimed at economy. Because of the objections raised by members, Dr. Darrow proposed that the programs of meetings be skeletonized to titles as an alternative to the original proposal of ceasing to publish the abstracts in the Physical Review.

Both proposals are highly undesirable. The first because very frequently valuable accounts of preliminary work are given in abstracts which do not form the substance of a printed paper for a long time thereafter and sometimes not at all. The second is undesirable because most frequently members need abstracts to determine whether they will go to a particular meeting at all, and if they go, which sessions they will attend and what questions to ask. We would like to suggest an alternative plan which would help the treasury, according to Dr. Darrow, as much as, or more than, either of the economy moves discussed at Seattle.

Our proposal is that a registration fee of at least one dollar be charged at all meetings of the Society. Most other professional societies charge such fees. We feel that members attending meetings would prefer to pay the registration fee rather than to have programs in which only the titles of papers, without the abstracts, are printed.

This letter has been discussed with the following, who are all members of the American Physical Society at these [Westinghouse Research] laboratories: Stephen J. Angello, J. W. Brownson, Donald K. Coles, J. W. Coltman, William S. Corak, L. H. Crandon, J. A. Cyphers, Thomas W. Dakin, Edward J. Duckett, R. E. Fox, M. Garbuny, P. H. Gleichauf, J. E. Goldman, William E. Good, D. J. Grove, Charles F. Hill, Richard H. Hughes, W. J. Hushley, J. A. Hutcheson, B. Jennings, J. S. Lagarias, Howard A. Leiter, Richard L. Longini, A. O. McCoubrey, Ralph O. McIntosh, Kirk A. Oplinger, Henry H. Plotkin, Edward V. Somers, K. H. Sun, Roland W. Ure, Jr., R. E. Warren, Aaron Wexler, and T. D. Yenson.

These members all endorse and approve the suggestions made in this letter. W. M. Brubaker

East Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Joseph Slepian R. C. Mason

Short Enough

Sir:

I am in complete agreement with everything Dr. Corson writes about the publication policy in his letter in the June issue. Above all, let's not condense papers any more!

E. GERJUOY

Los Angeles, California

## KILOVOLTS for KLYSTRONS



OSCILLATORS



- Beam Voltage: Carefully regulated, continuously variable supply provides cathode-to-grounded-anode potential of 800 to 1500 volts at 65 ma or to 3600 volts at 25 ma.
- Repeller Voltage: Direct reading coarse and fine controls permit continuously variable adjustment from —20 to —750 volts.
- Grid Voltage: Choice of negative or positive variable bias is provided by front panel controls with "safety-lock" to preclude tube damage.
- Modulation: Front panel selector switch allows choice of square-wave, saw-tooth, or external modulation, plus highly stable c-w operation.

The Type 801 Universal Klystron Power Supply has been developed by PRD to meet the increasing need of research and production engineers for a unit to operate the many types of high voltage klystrons now in use throughout the microwave spectrum. Excellent voltage stability and precise modulation wave forms make the Type 801 ideal for use in the fields of military and communications research as well as in the important new science of microwave spectroscopy. Complete specifications are contained in the new PRD catalog. Write Dept. P-5.



Polytechnic RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, Inc.

202 TILLARY ST., BROOKLYN I, NEW YORK